

CITY OF DELAFIELD LAKE WELFARE MINUTES

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

J. Bills called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Present

Absent

Kent Attwell
Jerry Bills
John Brodie (entered 6:03 p.m.)
Jerry Dunnick
Bonnie Fieber
Gayle Gaborsky
Lynn Morrison
Gary Pratt
Don Tills
Ken Wiedmeyer

Richard Landwehr
Jerry Burg

Also Present

Tom Hafner
Steve Dischler, Foth & Van Dyke
Jerry Berg, Foth & Van Dyke
John Starke, Foth & Van Dyke
Lisa Reas, LJ Reas Environmental Consulting

3. APPROVE MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 13, 2006

It was noted that Jerry Dunnick was not present at the last meeting.

K. ATTWELL MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES WITH THE ABOVE NOTED CORRECTION. B. FIEBER SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

4. CITIZEN'S COMMENTS ON ITEMS PERTAINING TO THE AGENDA.

Alan & Victoria Hazel, 3243 Sylvester Drive were present, but did not have any comments.

5. OLD BUSINESS

a. PUBLIC ACCESS PIER

1) STATUS

This has been in the budget for several years. The Common Council authorized \$15,000 for this pier. At the last Common Council

CITY OF DELAFIELD LAKE WELFARE MINUTES

meeting T. Hafner stated that the dam needed to be worked on in the spring. This would require that the berm between the lake and the pond would need to be re-worked. Because this might change the characteristics of the pier, it was decided to put the pier in as soon as the dam was complete and after the berm is reconstructed. There is a good opportunity to get one-half of the cost of the pier in the form of a grant.

b. LAKESHORE RESTORATION PROJECT

1) STATUS

Steve Dischler of Foth & Van Dyke was present at the meeting. Jerry Berg and John Starke were also present. Steve Dischler announced that he would be leaving Foth & Van Dyke. J. Berg will be his replacement on this project. S. Dischler discussed the project development process, the draft of Chapter 30, the schedule, and the key issues that are remaining. The criteria of dredge alignments were explained as being a 3' water depth, 1:4 slope, and a 5' setback (with some exceptions). The sub-areas of the dredging areas were reviewed. By obtaining more data, it was determined that the amount of dredged prism volume would be approximately 138,680 dredged prism yards with the cost being approximately \$2,285,461. These amounts could change as adjustments are made to the plan. This is less than what was projected this past June because of the data that has been obtained. There is a lot of flexibility built into the dredge prism. The Restoration Plans that have been prepared by L. Reas are conceptual at this time, but would give the DNR a good concept of the conditions in the area. She recommended that after the dredging project goes through to wait one year to see what aquatic plants regenerate on their own before planting plants. The engineer's sketches and the lake restoration specialist's sketches will match prior to submittal to the DNR. The conditions which result from the lake draw down in some areas, specifically the channels, were reviewed. There are areas where bottom mud is exposed when the lake is drawn down. These exposed areas freeze solid during the winter months. In spring when the lake level goes up, the frozen mass of mud buckles, floats, and is transported to other areas in the channels. S. Dischler stated that he would rather trade set-back than slope on the developed sides of the northwest channel where this mud freeze takes place. By decreasing the set-back, the warmth of the water may discourage the freezing of the mud to the extent that is happening now. Educating the owners on shoreline restoration is important especially in these particular areas. The northeast channels were reviewed. The Bark River inlet was going to be set up as a sedimentation basin and should be maintained as such. Key stormwater inputs around the lake should be looked at. It was hoped to have the document ready for one final review by next

CITY OF DELAFIELD LAKE WELFARE MINUTES

Monday, October 16, 2006. Discussion took place on Zastrow's Bay where the weed harvesting equipment goes in and out four days per week in the summer. A lot of sediment gets stirred up in this area. Committee members reworked the designated dredge lines on the maps.

A ten minute break was taken.

c. PROPOSED BOAT HOUSE CODE CHANGES

Proposed changes include:

1. Add definitions for: Naturalized Shoreline and Rain Gardens
2. All new and over 50% rebuilt boathouses will require the landowner to naturalize 75% of the shoreline not covered by the boathouse and add a rain garden or rain gardens.
3. Add definition about grandfathering of existing boathouses. Grandfathered boathouse would have to comply with current Delafield boathouse code
4. Lower height from 12' to 10' for flat top roof and 18' to 14' for all other roof designs
5. Refine how close a boathouse can be to neighbors property line from 6' to 10'
6. No deck or outdoor living spaces will be allowed on new or over 50% rebuilt boathouses
7. Add language to insure neighbors and the Lake Welfare Committee are part of the formal process to comment on the boathouse plan prior to Plan Commission action.
8. Add language that a boathouse cannot obscure neighbor's views of the lake from their main living floor.

D. Tills stated that the changes would help reduce the run-off into the lake, protect the aesthetics of water edge, protect the neighbor's view amenities of the lake, and protect near shore habitat.

If the LWC approves this, M. Carlson will work on the wording for the boat house code in conjunction with the City Attorney.

D. TILLS MOTIONED TO AMEND THE BOATHOUSE CODE AND MAKE THE EIGHT CHANGES LISTED ABOVE TO THE BOATHOUSE CODE. K. WIEDEMEYER SECONDED THE MOTION. DISCUSSION TOOK

CITY OF DELAFIELD LAKE WELFARE MINUTES

PLACE ON ITEMS #3, 6, 8. SIX WERE IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION. G. GABORSKY, K. ATTWELL, AND J. DUNNICK OPPOSED. G. PRATT ABSTAINED. MOTION CARRIED.

After some discussion the committee felt that penalties need to be added to the Code.

B. FIEBER MOTIONED TO PROHIBIT ALL CONSTRUCTION OF FUTURE BOATHOUSES ON LAKE NAGAWICKA. D. TILLS SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. FIVE WERE IN FAVOR. FIVE WERE OPPOSED. MOTION DIED.

d. PLANT MANAGEMENT PLAN STATUS

The final copy of the Plant Management Plan is available on SEWRPC's website. A link to this document will be put on the City's website.

e. WORKGROUPS REPORTS

1. COMMUNICATIONS AND EDUCATION

a. ITEMS LWC WANTS ON CITY CALENDAR, SUCH AS ANNUAL LAKE AWARENESS MEETING.

Items to include would be:

- Neighborhood gatherings that will take place with each of the seven dredging areas
- Lake Awareness Meeting

b. NEWSLETTER TOPIC(S) FOR [JAN, FEB, MAR] DELAFIELD COMMUNICATOR (ARTICLE DUE 12/01).

Suggestions for articles should be given to B. Fieber.

c. LWC COMMUNICATIONS STRATEGIES

B. Fieber distributed a draft document entitled "Lake Welfare Committee Communications Strategy". Committee members will review and email their comments to B. Fieber within the next couple of days. B. Fieber will prepare a press release after each meeting in an effort to keep the public informed.

CITY OF DELAFIELD LAKE WELFARE MINUTES

2. AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES

No report.

3. RESTORATION REFERENDUM AND FUNDING

This item is in the City's capital budget for 2007. J. Bills would like the funding sub-committee to develop a list of alternative funding possibilities for the next meeting. The focus groups will then be asked for their opinions of the different alternatives. The next step would be to get volunteers together and have a facilitated meeting on the best ways to fund this project. It was stated that each LWC member should have the meetings with their constituents to keep them informed. K. Attwell stated that the City Intern will compile financial analysis worksheets depicting different funding scenarios.

6. BUDGET – 2007

L. Morrison will make sure that the USGS flow gage was included in the budget for \$6,700.

B. FIEBER MOTIONED TO GET APPROVAL FROM THE COMMON COUNCIL IN THE BUDGET FOR \$6,700 IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE USGS SURVEY AT THE BARK RIVER. J. DUNNICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

7. NEW BUSINESS

None.

8. CORRESPONDENCE

a. emails from Foth and VanDyke

9. ADJOURN

L. MORRISON MOTIONED TO ADJOURN FROM THE MEETING. K. WIEDEMAYER SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED. THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 9:29 P.M.

Minutes Prepared By:

Accurate Business Communications, LLC