

CITY OF DELAFIELD LAKE WELFARE MINUTES

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

Chairperson J. Bills called the meeting to order at 6:07 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Present

Absent

Kent Attwell
Jerry Bills
Bonnie Fieber
Don Tills
Jerry Dunnick
Dennis Hart
Ken Wiedmeyer
Jerry Burg
John Brodie

Richard Landwehr

Also Present

Phil Schuman

3. APPROVE MINUTES OF JULY 12, 2006

K. ATTWELL MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE JULY 12, 2006 MEETING. B. FIEBER SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

4. CITIZEN'S COMMENTS ON ITEMS PERTAINING TO THE AGENDA

There were no citizens present.

5. OLD BUSINESS

a. LAKESHORE RESTORATION UPDATE

Some of the sediment sampling results have been received, but due to time limitations, have not yet been analyzed. J. Bills suggested that a meeting be held between M. Carlson, T. Hafner, and himself about the results and to discuss the various options.

B. FIEBER MOTIONED THAT K. ATTWELL AND J. BILLS MEET WITH T. HAFNER AND M. CARLSON. K. ATTWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

CITY OF DELAFIELD LAKE WELFARE MINUTES

The DNR went out on the lake to do the depth survey this week. DNR does not see the need to dredge in locations that have water depths greater than three feet. They were concerned with navigational water depth, not how much sediment there was. D. Tills thought that the DNR might be open to shoreline dredging. J. Bills questioned whether better water depth data was needed. The water level should be checked. It was emphasized to the DNR that the window of opportunity for doing this type of restoration is limited due to the development in the community as there may not be any available dredge spoil sites in the future. Residents want to move forward with this project now, but want to make sure that the dredging depth is deep enough. If dredged with appropriate shoreline erosion control, this will not have to be done in the foreseeable future. J. Bills stated that the biggest concern is that the police boats have access in the future. D. Tills recommended getting the data. The Lake Welfare Committee will obtain this data themselves versus hiring a contractor. D. Tills thought that DNR has not made any final decisions and if the Lake Welfare Committee really wanted to proceed with a navigational depth of 5' and dredging everything that is shown on the preliminary application, it could move forward and build an argument for the plan. This will be discussed at the next meeting.

b. PROPOSED BOATHOUSE CODE CHANGES

D. Tills submitted the proposed boathouse code to M. Carlson and asked him to have the staff and the City Attorney review it. D. Tills recommended that the Lake Welfare Committee agree to have J. Bills and himself meet with staff to talk about refining the boathouse code.

B. FIEBER MOTIONED TO HAVE A MEETING WITH STAFF TO REFINE THE BOATHOUSE CODE. K. ATTWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

c. PLANT MANAGEMENT STATUS

This is still "in press".

d. WORK GROUPS REPORT

1) COMMUNICATION AND EDUCATION

There was an article in the Waukesha Freeman last week.

B. Fieber would like to put a letter together to the County in an effort to obtain additional funding. It was thought that this should be done through the City. She will draft a letter to present to the Committee at the next meeting.

CITY OF DELAFIELD LAKE WELFARE MINUTES

The deadline for submission of articles for City Communicator's is September 1.

2) AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES

J. Bills will head this sub-committee. He thought that Purple Loosestrife needed to be addressed. There is a possibility of students participating from Arrowhead High School in the raising of beetles. Having more beetles would help decrease the number of unwanted plants.

B. FIEBER MOTIONED TO ESTABLISH THE AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES SUB-GROUP. J. BURG SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

3) RESTORATION REFERENDUM

A new leader is needed for this sub-committee. This committee will now be included in the Restoration Funding Sub-Committee with K. Attwell and D. Tills leading the group.

4) RESTORATION FUNDING

D. Tills and K. Attwell met to review the draft with three alternatives. The first alternative of the general taxpayers paying for all of the restoration was eliminated. Discussion took place as to whether this should be left in or not when analyzing the costs. All residents of the City benefit from the lake. Possible scenarios were reviewed. D. Tills and K. Attwell met with M. Carlson to discuss funding. D. Tills thought that they needed to come up with a formula for a "fair share" based on the amount of frontage owned by the Village versus the amount of frontage owned by the City. The costs to individuals will need to be part of that equation also. Once the data that has been requested from City is obtained as to who lives in these project areas, meetings need to be held with the participants from the project areas to define the limits of the projects, talk through costs and alternatives, and to obtain feedback before deciding on a funding formula. Criteria need to be set for "opting out". It was reported that M. Carlson thought that the Committee needed to agree on a general capital budget proposal. The Committee members had this in their packets. This is needed to get the project into the 2007 budget.

e. PUBLIC ACCESS PIER

The Public Works Committee voted the pier down due to maintenance concerns. P. Schuman stated that the Park & Rec Commission is

CITY OF DELAFIELD LAKE WELFARE MINUTES

interested in having a pier. The cost for the engineering for the dam/pier was \$20,000. J. Bills will contact C. Smith, Chair of the Park & Rec Commission.

6. NEW BUSINESS

a. BUDGET 2007

J. Bills would like to add money to have a plant survey done every couple of years and funding for aquatic invasive species. Committee members who would like to add/change/delete items from the budget should contact J. Bills prior to the next meeting. P. Schuman suggested looking at the contractor's costs for the project to see if this needed to be budgeted for.

7. CORRESPONDENCE

None.

8. ADJOURN

D. TILLS MOTIONED TO ADJOURN FROM THE MEETING. J. DUNNICK SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED. THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 8:04 P.M.

Minutes Prepared By:

Accurate Business Communications, LLC