

CITY OF DELAFIELD LAKE WELFARE MINUTES

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

P. Schuman called the meeting to order at 6:04 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Present

Kent Attwell (6:06 p.m.)
Jerry Bills
Jerry Dunnick
Bonnie Fieber
Dennis Hart (6:35 p.m.)
Phil Schuman
Don Tills
Ken Wiedmeyer

Absent

Richard Landwehr
Steve Headley
Ruth Marshall

3. APPROVE MINUTES OF DECEMBER 8, 2004

B. FIEBER MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM THE DECEMBER 8, 2004 MEETING. J. BILLS SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

4. CITIZEN'S COMMENTS ON ITEMS PERTAINING TO THE AGENDA.

No citizens were present.

5. OLD BUSINESS

a. LAKE RESTORATION PROJECT-DREDGING APPLICATION

P. Schuman met with T. Hafner regarding Vierbicher. J. Bills is working on the preliminary application for the lake restoration project. He reviewed his progress and distributed a spreadsheet showing a summary database for the commissioners' review. This spreadsheet will be included in the preliminary application. J. Bills stated that he did not see anything that was hazardous waste. A graph will be made for every chemical that has criteria and will be included in the preliminary application. He used arsenic as an example and spoke in detail about it. After all of the data has been analyzed and the recommendations have been made as to what should be analyzed, the next question will be how many samples need to be done. A proposal regarding sampling will be presented to the DNR. The process is that the preliminary application will be submitted to the DNR, they will come back to the City with their opinions, a meeting with the DNR will take place to come to a consensus

CITY OF DELAFIELD LAKE WELFARE MINUTES

as to what will be analyzed, a sampling plan will be submitted, and then sediment sampling will take place.

b. CONTENTS OF RESTORATION RFP

The commissioners had copies of the documents prepared by D. Tills, B. Fieber, and J. Bills. They talked about the outputs of what the study would be. A list was compiled showing what requirements/knowledge and demonstrated work products would be expected from the consultant for this project. The project requirements included that the consultant would:

- produce a written aquatic habitat restoration plan for all areas of Nagawicka Lake that were to be dredged (including the channels and those going up to the Kettle)
- ~~review of all wetlands adjacent to Nagawicka Lake and recommend a management plan for each wetland area~~
- review current shoreline landscape and prepare a recommended native plant shoreline management plan (a generic plan or a menu of plans that a landowner could choose from)
- prepare a fisheries habitat plan for all areas to be dredged

P. Schuman did not want to raise issues that would cause problems for the project (he used bullet number two as an example). J. Bills stated that the City would restore where dredging took place and under the water, and that restoration of the shoreline would also take place. The wetlands would be protected and enhanced. D. Tills thought that the major message would be protection and enhancement of wetland areas. Discussion took place. J. Bills stated that the committee needed to focus on the habitat improvement of where the dredging would take place and shoreline restoration. D. Tills stated that he would be comfortable narrowing the list down as long as the opportunity was kept open in the future to look at some of the other issues. It was the consensus of the committee that the second bullet of the above list should be taken out.

The RFP will be worked on, a draft will be created and it will be given to T. Hafner.

c. MILL POND

The City will be taking action on this. The committee had a copy of the Resolution passed by the City. The Public Works Committee and Plan Commission will review this. An official brochure will be sent to M. Zerwekh. Because she has taken the action to abandon the dam, the City had to take official action in order to protect the dam. Discussion took place on the process of eminent domain. Work will continue on this.

CITY OF DELAFIELD LAKE WELFARE MINUTES

d. CITY BOATHOUSE CODE

P. Schuman sent this out to various departments within the City, but no response had been received at this point in time.

e. BUOY & BOATING SURVEY

The survey was sent out to the City residents with the last newsletter and is on the website. Some responses have been received. Discussion took place as to who will tabulate the responses. B. Fieber will assist with the tabulation. The deadline for responses is February.

7. NEW BUSINESS

a. LAKE AWARENESS SPRING MEETING

The date of this meeting will be May 11th meeting.

K. ATTWELL MOTIONED TO HAVE B. FIEBER CHAIR THE COMMITTEE FOR THIS MEETING. D. HART SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

Agenda items and the location for the meeting will be determined at a later date.

8. CORRESPONDENCE

- a. E-mail on NR 115, 1-4-05
- b. E-mail on Aquatic Plant Mgt Plan, 1-5-05
- c. WAL January Newsletter

9. ADJOURN

B. FIEBER MOTIONED TO ADJOURN FROM THE MEETING. J. DUNNICK SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED. THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED.