

**CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN B DREDGING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES**

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

Al Zietlow called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

3. ROLL CALL

Present

Al Zietlow, District 6  
Mike Hausman, District 1  
Caroline O'Brien, District 2  
Bob Aulik, District 3  
Mark Millot, District 4  
Raffi Shirikian, District 5  
Beth Leonard, Alderperson District 2  
Tim Aicher, Alderperson District 7

Absent

Don Blomstrom, District 7

Without objection from the Committee, A. Zietlow moved to Item 6a on the agenda for the meeting.

4. APPROVE MINUTES OF JANUARY 21, 2010 DREDGING PLAN B COMMITTEE MEETING

**B. LEONARD MOVED TO APPROVE THE JANUARY 21, 2010 DREDGING PLAN B COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES AS AMENDED ON PAGE 3, ITEM 8, IN THE NOMINATION MOTION FOR MIKE HAUSMAN, CHANGE "MARK MILLOT" TO "BOB AULIK". T. AICHER SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.**

Without objection, A. Zietlow moved to Item 7 at this time.

5. CITIZEN'S COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

There was no one wishing to comment at this time.

A. Zietlow returned to Item 7g on the agenda at this time.

6. OLD BUSINESS

a. SUBMIT COMMITTEE MEMBER PAPERWORK TO CITY CLERK

A. Zietlow reminded all Committee members to submit the appropriate paperwork for participation on the Committee to the City Clerk in a timely manner. In addition, he noted the DVD on open meeting rules and Robert's Rules of Order assumed by public officials should be viewed promptly.

**CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN B DREDGING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES**

- b. REMINDER TO VIEW OPEN MEETING RULES DVD AND REVIEW ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER

This item was discussed as part of Item 6a.

- c. UPDATES ON CONTACTS BEING MADE FOR COMMITTEE

- i. DNR

A. Zietlow will meet with Department of Natural Resources Staff in upcoming weeks and will report on these discussions at an upcoming meeting of the Committee.

- ii. WAUKESHA COUNTY

A. Zietlow spoke to Waukesha County Executives in recent weeks regarding the plight of the lake and moving forward with the dredging project. More information will be available in upcoming weeks on this item.

- d. SUMMARY OF DOCUMENT MATERIALS REQUESTED FOR CITY SOURCES (HAND OUT AT MEETING)

Document materials had been distributed to all Committee members prior to the start of the meeting this evening. A. Zietlow explained all Committee members should have received a stack of informational materials related to the dredging project this evening. The last page of these distributed materials included a summation list of all documents that were included in the materials distribution. Items denoted on the summation list with an asterisk were materials that could also be located on the City's website. The Chapter 30 dredging permit was quite large and cumbersome to copy for all so Committee members should check the City's website for a complete copy of the permit. He encouraged all Committee members to read the appendices of the permit as this was the "meat" of the document and would be helpful knowledge in moving forward.

A. Zietlow moved to Item 4 on the agenda without objection from the Committee.

7. NEW BUSINESS

- a. ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

A. Zietlow announced the Committee had a direct email address. This address was unique because the community could email the Committee as a whole and the information received would be distributed to all. Area residents wanting to contact the Committee regarding the dredging project should use the following address: [dredging@ci.delafield.wi.us](mailto:dredging@ci.delafield.wi.us)

**CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN B DREDGING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES****b. DISCUSSION AND DEVELOPMENT OF A FORMAL "PURPOSE OF COMMITTEE" STATEMENT**

A. Zietlow explained at the last meeting, T. Aicher had requested consideration of a purpose statement for the Committee. To that end, A. Zietlow queried the Committee as to the purpose of the Committee in hopes of developing consensus to form a purpose statement. He asked each Committee member to state a belief of purpose for the Committee.

M. Millot stated there should be short and long range goals associated with the Committee purpose. He thought the short term issues included the current Chapter 30 permit and dredging that would logically include a more urgent timeframe coupled with the issue of securing funding for the project. Long range goals included how to address the overall dredging as a whole and how to pursue restoration of various lake areas over the next five to twenty years. There were many avenues to achieve these goals and he thought this a "golden" opportunity to examine the overall issues of restoration of the lake and how to fund that restoration over the long term. The focus should be to establish a long range model for these issues and commit to funding through either private or public funded means. He thought area residents deserved some indication of where the money would realistically come from to complete the project now and in the future.

B. Aulik agreed, noting he thought the outcome of the referendum showed that for residents the benefit did not justify the cost burden to the community for the dredging project. The role of this Committee should be to determine if change can come through education of all of the value of the lake, the scope of dredging and redistribution of costs for the project. He thought another cost model could potentially be developed that would provide a positive outcome for citizens.

A. Zietlow noted there was a list of funding formulas and variations in the packet of materials distributed to the Committee earlier in the meeting.

C. O'Brien stated she thought education of the whole community regarding the value of the lake was the role of the Committee as well as investigation of different funding avenues and mechanisms to fund the project. She thought the value of the lake was not realized by all through payment of property taxes. The value of the lake was reflected in many ways for area residents.

R. Shirikian stated he thought the biggest role of the Committee was to work through the cost of the project, explore the actual value of the project and whether it was affordable to proceed. If the costs were excessive, then it was important to determine how to dissect the project and move forward as much as possible.

B. Leonard stated she thought the scope of the Committee should be how to take the existing information and complete the dredging project as defined with new formulas. She also thought the greater effort would also come in working to determine the other factors that made the dredging necessary. After recent

**CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN B DREDGING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES**

conversations with DNR Staff, it was her impression that the DNR would look more favorably on the City using funding that could be spent on dredging to mitigate the sedimentation and siltation that was coming into the lake. The recommendation from this Committee should include how to handle dredging in the future and should work to ensure control of lakeshore development. She thought there were many factors to be considered and some solutions might be cost effective and could be done in conjunction with this project to mitigate the need for future dredging.

M. Hausman stated he did not disagree with all the statements made by others on the Committee; however, he was concerned about the realities of what could be done with the existing Chapter 30 permit. He thought the Committee should either act as an advisory group to the Lake Welfare Committee (LWC) or leverage the work that had been done by the LWC. In addition, he thought all Committee members should make sure the desires of the district residents were heard and understood in this matter. The City had adopted Smart Growth and the Chapter 30 permit was part of that Plan and he thought the Committee owed it to the City to live up to the obligations found in the Smart Growth Plan. He thought the Chapter 30 permit to be immutable and it was important to work within that framework. Committee members should listen to citizens of their district, see if the project could be done, and determine costs for the project. He stated he liked T. Aicher's purpose statement from the last meeting as he thought that captured the role of this Committee.

A. Zietlow shared a draft purpose statement that encompassed what he thought the role of the Committee should be and was as followed:

*The purpose of the Committee is to attempt to develop a plan of restoration for Nagawicka Lake that is supported by the whole City at an equitable cost for all citizens.*

T. Aicher stated he thought a purpose statement would help the Committee to focus on why the Committee had been formed. The lake had a problem and many people had been paying taxes for the lake and were suffering from this problem as well. He thought it in the best interest of the community and for all that use the lake to consider how to access funds. He liked B. Aulik's comments regarding education of the public and that relationship to funding the project. He also shared a purpose statement that he thought encompassed the role of the Committee as followed:

*The purpose of the Plan B Dredging Committee is to develop an actionable plan for the restoration of Lake Nagawicka that serves the common good and economics of all City residents.*

Discussion ensued regarding the wording presented in the purpose statements.

**CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN B DREDGING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES**

**M. HAUSMAN MOVED TO ACCEPT THE MISSION STATEMENT DRAFTED BY T. AICHER AS PRESENTED. T. AICHER SECONDED THE MOTION. A. ZIETLOW NOTED THE STATEMENT COULD CHANGE OVER TIME AS THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE PROGRESSED AND COULD ALSO BE SUPPLEMENTED WITH GOALS AS NEEDED. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.**

B. Leonard questioned the title for the lake moving forward. It was agreed the lake would be titled "Nagawicka Lake" for use within the Committee documents moving forward.

- c. REVIEW AND DISCUSSION OF LWC PUBLICATION "2009 NAGAWICKA LAKE RESTORATION" TO SELECT INFORMATION THE COMMITTEE MAY USE

A. Zietlow distributed the LWC publication "2009 Nagawicka Lake Restoration" for discussion with the Committee. He stated he thought the three paragraphs listed at the bottom of page 1 were critical as it seemed the City had set a precedent in maintenance of assets found in infrastructure and other artifacts; however, there was no money in the City budget for lake restoration or maintenance. He thought it important for the Committee to consider this statement moving forward with research of knowledge related to the dredging.

- d. PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF MODEL REPORTING PLAN TO BE USED BY THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS AS THEY COMPLETE RESEARCH ASSIGNMENTS

A. Zietlow explained two person study groups would be an effective way to review information and then have the study groups report back to the Committee at future meetings as a way to understand and sift the materials related to the project quickly. He then distributed and explained guide sheets for discussion points that would consistently allow each group to report to the Committee and track information shared in the future.

The following study groups were formed and study topics noted.

Raffi Shirikian and Mike Hausman	Role of the City in supporting the restoration and maintenance of Nagawicka Lake?
Caroline O'Brien and Mark Millot	Determine sources of pollution for the Lake and contribute to need for restoration programs
Bob Aulik and Don Blomstrom	Research criteria used to select properties in dredging pool and develop a methodology to be used to determine if they are still interested in the project.

**CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN B DREDGING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES**

All Committee members were asked to interview 20 people to determine why they thought people voted the way they did in the referendum.

A. Zietlow distributed a list of issues heard and realized as part of discussions held by the Committee thus far regarding research and knowledge of the issues related to the dredging project.

- e. REVIEW AND DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED LIST OF ITEMS THE COMMITTEE MAY WISH TO ANALYZE IN COMPLETING ITS MISSION

This item was discussed as part of Item 7b.

- f. DISCUSSION OF SUGGESTED COMMITTEE MEMBER ASSIGNMENTS FOR NEXT MEETING

This item was discussed as part of Item 7b.

Item 5 on the agenda was taken at this time.

- g. ITEMS SUGGESTED FOR NEXT MEETING AGENDA

Discussion ensued regarding the next meeting date.

**R. SHIRIKIAN MOVED TO HOLD THE NEXT MEETING OF THE PLAN B DREDGING COMMITTEE ON WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 2010 AT 7:00 P.M. B. LEONARD SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.**

The following list of items was suggested for inclusion on the next meeting agenda.

- Updated numbers from the J. Bills presentation of 2005
- Review survey information related to dredging project and opt-out policy
- Question and answer session with Kent Attwell, Lake Welfare Committee chair, regarding \$5000 budgeted for grant funding for this project and other issues
- Report on funding options (if needed) for Committee work

8. CORRESPONDENCE

None.

9. ADJOURN

**M. HAUSMAN MOVED TO ADJOURN THE FEBRUARY 4, 2010, DREDGING PLAN B COMMITTEE MEETING AT 8:35 P.M. C. O'BRIEN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.**