

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

CALL MEETING TO ORDER

Mayor Craig called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

ROLL CALL

Present

Mayor Paul Craig
Jeff Krickhahn, Ald.
Phil Schuman, Ald.
Erv Sadowski, Ald.
Marily Gardner, Ald.
Linda Kuklinski, Ald.
Don Swain, Ald.
Matt Carlson, Administrator
Ellen O'Brien, Deputy Clerk

Absent

Mike Roberts, Ald. (entered 7:43 p.m.)

Items listed under the Consent Agenda are considered in one motion unless Common Council Member requests that an item be removed from the Consent Agenda.

1. APPROVE MINUTES OF APRIL 21, 2003

L. KUKLINSKI MOTIONED TO APPROVE. D. SWAIN SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

2. CITIZEN'S COMMENTS ON ITEMS PERTAINING TO THIS AGENDA.

Ted Skelton, N14W30463 Willow Hill Road – Pertains to the Hartland Sportsman's Club and their conditional use. Some you might be aware of it, Matt, we haven't had the opportunity to meet, but I dropped off a nice little package for you in your office. I live in the Town of Delafield and our house, in the subdivision, The Arbors, the backyard faces to the south. I have four skylights over our kitchen table. I came home – I don't have the dates in front of me – roughly two months ago and our babysitter said, your skylight is broken. Well I woke up the next morning and said, that looks like a bullet hole. I was kind of puzzled but on Monday morning I'll call the glass company and as I was talking to them, saw a little speck, climbed up on a ladder and sure enough there was a rifle projectile lodged between the two panes of glass. I've got three daughters, 8, 5, and 22 months that are playing out in the backyard and I've got bullets flying in my backyard. At that time, I called the Waukesha County Sheriff and we talked about it. At first, it wasn't a big issue to me, but then as the Waukesha County Sheriff and I started talking, suddenly he said if this would have hit a moving car, I've got a set of problems on my hands. So, I called the Hartland Sportsman's Club and really got kind of a curt answer that

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

there's a lot of gun shots – could have been anyone. So, at that point I submitted a letter to yourselves and also to the Town of Delafield. I moved out here three years ago and I really didn't expect to have bullets flying in my backyard. Hartland Sportsman's Club's answer was "try to prove it". So, I guess in talking to Matt, I have three issues. Obviously, I've got three little girls and I don't want bullets flying around in my backyard – so that's a safety issue. I also am standing here on public record that this issue has been brought up. God forbid, something happens. Thirdly, it seemed like right after this issue happened, there was an evening, it seems like there were gunshots until probably 10:00 at night. I have no idea what their conditional use is, but I almost felt like they were rubbing it in our face. We're here... I have nothing against guns; I'm not anything like that. I don't see any problem in the conditional use if it was shotguns only. I understand they were here first, but there's also been a lot of development around the Hartland Sport's Club. High-powered rifles are being shot. Maybe their day has come where high-powered rifles are no longer an avenue that should be discharged in the Town and City of Delafield any longer. And last, but not least, I know that a lot of rifle clubs have canopies where the people that are discharging the firearms are under so that if a gun does go up in the air, it hits the canopy and it's not going to end up in people's homes. So, I guess that I'm basically here to just say that I think their day has come as far as for high-powered rifles. And I hope it's on public record now that there are bullets flying here in Delafield.

Ellen Restock, 4527 Vettelson Rd. – I just wanted to make known that the property lines with Village Square touch our property. My husband and I wanted to say that I would hope tonight that you vote no against the development. There are so many unanswered questions with the traffic and the water runoff and our wells and many other things. I wish you would have been at my house about 45 minutes ago when I went to put something in the mailbox for tomorrow. There were cars just coming down the road and racing and, of course, there aren't any police around now because they're at the other end of the City. So, this stuff is going to start happening with the development there and coming in. Hopefully tonight you'll vote no.

Mayor Craig: May I encourage you that if you do have problems with traffic and excessive speed that you do call the police department.

Ellen Restock: They were gone before I got into the house.

Alyce Schouten, 4518 Vettelson Rd. – Council members, this seems like this is about the hundredth time that I've stood before this group. Most of you are familiar faces. I am speaking tonight about Village Square. We do not need this huge development. It is being proposed for all the wrong reasons. This will not significantly lower the taxes in the City of Delafield. It will, however, significantly increase traffic on Hwy. 83, Hwy. 16, and Vettelson Road. It will also significantly increase noise and light pollution and have a tremendous effect on the wells and the environment of the surrounding landowners. It will divide the commercial district of Delafield into two separate areas. It will be a redundant development mimicking Nagawaukee Center and the businesses in downtown Hartland. Please vote with the Plan Commissions decision and say no, emphatically and resoundingly to this development.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

Mayor Craig: Thank you for coming.

Larry Schouten, 4518 Vettelson Rd. – I'd like to talk to you on two subjects; one of which is your appointment to the Plan Commission. At the last Council meeting, I presented a petition with 162 signatures of people who wish to see Diri on the Plan Commission. And although I don't know anything about Richard, I do know that Diri has done a fantastic job and I think she ought to be appointed for another term on the Commission. Second item, of course, is Village Square. Again, I hope that you'll go along with all of the, or most of the people, at least on the Plan Commission, and their decision to deny this particular development at this location. Thank you.

Mayor Craig: Thank you.

Bob Borkowski, 4521 Vettelson Rd. – Like my neighbor, Larry, the first item I'm concerned about is item 6a, the Plan Commission recommendation for Richard Kuchler. Although I don't know the man, I don't have anything against him; I think that I would like to see Diri Curtis-Costa remain on the Board. I think she has done a stellar job and been very good. The criteria that the Mayor and I had a conversation about – you're half right, I'm half right – it's a split. I ask that you go with who's in the seat right now. Technically, Diri is. I'd like to see her stay. Again, there were petitions submitted at the last meeting, and I think that that's proof that there are enough people in this city that care. Second item is 4c, Village Square. I ask you again to deny this development. You've heard all the reasons from everybody before. You've spent 5-1/2 or 6 hours the other night. I don't think you want to listen to me any longer. I have a couple of questions, though. I don't know if you can answer them. If there's a vote one way or the other tonight on the Village Square, what happens if it passes or what happens if it's denied? Where does this go? Can you kind of tell me?

Mayor Craig: It would then go out to DPW if it passed, start with those plans and also we would bring the developer in, I'm assuming, in starting the development with permits accordingly. Am I correct?

M. Carlson: That's correct.

Mayor Craig: If it's denied, I would say that the developer would not be permitted any of those steps and we would have to think of what course he would like to follow.

Bob Borkowski: So, it's in his ballpark if it's denied at this point. Okay. The other question I have is, I'm not real clear about is the Plan Commission's vote. You had 3 hours of discussion and several hours of voting. There were several different parts line-by-line. I'm not very clear about the different parts. Could you kindly explain that to me easily? I don't want to be here for another 3 hours.

Mayor Craig: Basically, we were advised by our attorney to go to the ordinances of the conditions and the PUD and examine each clause by itself and see what recommendations we would recommend and want for this development and then we took those 55 items and voted upon them. A long and tedious process, as you well know.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

Bob Borkowski: I guess the question is now that whole meeting is just to the Village Square.

Mayor Craig: At the beginning we did have a few other items as the Planning Commission, but the rest were postponed.

Bob Borkowski: But I'm talking about the Village Square - that pertains strictly to the Village Square and not to any other project or anything.

Mayor Craig: Right

Bob Borkowski: Now, if these people were to come back with a plan "X", completely different or something different, where does that meeting you had, the Planning Commission fall?

Mayor Craig: Correct me if I'm wrong, Matt, but I believe they would start at square one.

M. Carlson: They would have to submit a brand new site plan, planned unit development conditional use application and that application would be followed by a public hearing when their submittal was complete, recommendation from the Planning Commission, final action by City Council. Now, the developer, as you know, has a court action pending with the City of Delafield.

Bob Borkowski: That was one of my other questions.

M. Carlson: This court action is still pending and the court has ordered the City of Delafield to come up with a final decision with respect to the site plan and the approval of the general development plan by June 11th. But then based on whatever the final decision is, the property owner can exercise whatever rights the property owner believes he has.

Bob Borkowski: Does this mean that you have to go back to court now on a specific date and talk to the judge again, or is it satisfied by whatever happens tonight?

Mayor Craig: It would have to be satisfied by the decision before June 11th. I'm not saying that this body is going to make the decision. I don't mean to have a discourse at this time - this is your time to speak to this body.

Bob Borkowski: I'm here asking the questions - it's very cloudy. The question I had is does the City now, after a decision tonight, have to go back to court either way or does it go to court?

M. Carlson. You're presuming that the City Council is going to make a final decision tonight. Okay? All the City has to do is to comply with the judge's orders. We've yet to see a written copy of the judge's orders, so we're dealing with what our attorney is relating to us as what the judge's order is. His order is, give them a final decision by June 11th. So the City of Delafield Common Council has until June

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

11th to come up with a final answer on the general development plan. That answer could be denial, approval, or approval with conditions.

Mayor Craig: I think it should also be addressed tonight and again, I don't mean to take issue with you, but I know for myself that the court case gave me a deadline that I had to make a decision by, in that we had to make the timeframe within. But, the suit itself didn't weigh one way or the other on my mind in the aspect of should this be accepted or denied. It was the by the merit of the proposal that this was done. Just so that we're clear on that. There's an implication in what you're saying and I just want to make sure that we're clear.

Bob Borkowski: I wasn't implying anything; I'm just asking lots of questions tonight.

Mayor Craig: That's fine.

Bob Borkowski: Correct me if I'm wrong; I understood that the court order meant that you had to look at plan A because that was the plan in process at the time the lawsuit was brought forth. Correct? Now, what I understand is that the Plan Commission was directed by City Council to put together plans A and B and come up with a plan C.

Mayor Craig: Well, we were allowed, according to our attorneys, to put conditions and tweak the plan and make recommendations to the developer at that time.

Bob Borkowski: You moved the pond and you did some other things. I'm just curious about that.

Mayor Craig: Correct.

Bob Borkowski: Okay. Give me a second here – I'm almost done. As far the items that were voted on at the Plan Commission, is the Common Council required or need to go in the same direction as the other night?

Mayor Craig: I turned to our attorney and I said that I don't want to go through this process again with the council, and he turned to me and said that no, it didn't have to be, because it was done at the Plan Commission.

M. Carlson: That's not to say that the City Council can't discuss the individual items that are on the written record or even beyond the written record.

Bob Borkowski: And, I'm trying to remember. If you were to go through line-by-line, would they be able to modify what the Plan Commission did then? Is that what happens?

Mayor Craig: They get final say, yes.

Bob Borkowski: If development is denied, the developer cancels out and disappears-- I'm going into the future – you have somebody else in the future, somebody completely different come to this body and say that I have a plan. All the

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

things that the Plan Commission worked on the other night – how does that apply to a new developer and new plan?

Mayor Craig: Many of the items were based upon this particular development. If a new development was to come through, then we would have to go through a process. But knowing the process that we went through, I would think that the developer would take that into consideration.

Bob Borkowski: And would a new developer or the next development plan go through the same procedure as you did the other night?

Mayor Craig: I hope not that comprehensive. It was long, lengthy one that I think the court took us through.

M. Carlson. Let me answer the question by going back in history. When I met first with the current developers of this property, we strongly encouraged the developer to review the record from previous land development attempts for that property at the intersection of Hwy. 16 and Hwy. 83. And there was a rather lengthy record that included testimony at the Plan Commission, many, many hours of videotapes, letters in our files, etc., etc. Those things don't go away. We keep those things on file. And so assuming that the Council would turn down a development proposal that is currently pending, all of the letters and materials that are in the files (and I have a box as big as this table that has those materials in it), they don't get destroyed. That material stays there.

Bob Borkowski: Under your desk?

M. Carlson: So, those materials don't go away. We would do the same thing with any future developer that would come along. We would say to them okay folks, this was the development record from 10 years ago, here's the development record from 5 years ago, here's our current development record. Please educate yourselves. And so, that's the process that we would go through.

Bob Borkowski: Okay. I don't want to threaten anybody, but if you don't want to see me again, please deny this proposal.

Mayor Craig: But I like talking to you, Bob.

Bob Borkowski: Yeah – you're one person. It's how many others here. I don't see a raise of hands here. So, majority might win on this one.

P. Schuman: You buying?

Bob Borkowski: Yeah. I've got 20 bucks in my pocket. I got good credit.

Mayor Craig. Thank you, Bob. Are there any other citizens that care to speak? Jim, good to see you again.

Jim Zahorik, 1948 West Shore Dr. – In Friday's Journal/Sentinel, there was an article "Suburbs Unable To Tap Lake – Could Seek A Commission". And a government authority to oversee water use in Southeastern Wisconsin would

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

probably be the best way to stem a looming crisis for thirsty suburbs that are without access to Lake Michigan water, a panel of water experts said Thursday. In attendance at that panel were Doug Kirkauer, Professor of Hydrology with UW-Milwaukee; Waukesha Mayor, Carol Lombardi; Melissa Kay-Scanlon, an attorney and Executive Director of Midwest Environmental Advocates; etc., etc. But they are going to have four more of these meetings at the next four following Thursday's at noon at Milwaukee Turners. The purpose of these meetings is to try and figure out some way of stemming the use greater than supply scenario that currently exists in the aquifer that runs from up north down into Illinois that services the Waukesha area. Currently, Waukesha faces a potential of \$70M bill to eliminate radium and other substances from its water supply. Now that water supply is beneath the aquifer we're talking about. There under the deep aquifer that we also enjoy on the corner of Hwy. 94 and 83. I bring this up because you're considering Village Square. Village Square has already been described as a higher impact type of development. They came back with something that was even higher in impact. They had been requested to come in with two water sources. When they came in they said they didn't think a second source was necessary and I'm concerned because, yes, you see me this evening and unless I get hit by a truck you're bound to see me in the future because I plan on living here. My children plan on living here. I'm very concerned. Because after reading about this meeting and that the Professor of Hydrology stated that the aquifer that serves much of Waukesha County is being depleted at approximately five feet a year, we're going to get to the point, not that it's going to dry out, but we will not be able to get the amount of water we need to the surface to meet the demand in the years to come unless we do something. A number of months ago, a very difficult thing occurred. We had a moratorium on development as we researched things. At that time, it had to do with stormwater. I'm coming to you tonight saying that we have something as serious as stormwater. Stormwater pollutes, but if you don't have drinking water, you're not going to live here. It's no longer going to be desirable, your tax space is going to evaporate and everybody is going to be looking at each other saying, what are we going to do? Well, you wouldn't have to do that if you start thinking tonight that maybe it's time to bring in the gangplank and go on our way and stem the development. I hear constantly and I'm one of the people that would be involved that people with land holdings have a right to develop their property to their fullest extent. I'm here to tell you they don't. They don't, if it affects the general welfare and health of the community. And when you start taking a limited resource and depleting it, the end result is going to affect the entire community. And as I've mentioned before in front of this panel and other panels, we can't get water from Lake Michigan. We have to get it from below the surface. Creating more impervious surface limits the ability for the aquifer to replenish themselves. I'm not a fatalist. I'm a realist. And I want to be able to look at you folks 20 years from now and say, yup, you did the right thing, it was courageous, but a lot of the open areas we have, we maintained. And we still have water for our children and grandchildren. Secondly, as other people have said this evening, and we talked, Mayor, I state this evening that with a pending lawsuit and an appointment of a gentleman who I've never met and I'm sure is highly qualified, but I really hope that this entire commission considers the fact of changing horses in mid-stream. You have a lady that has worked very diligently, performed well, is there, researches, and is very proximate to this development. So she knows much of the area. I'm sure she's walked the area and has talked with many of the people that constantly come here and beg for you to do the right thing. And tonight I ask you to do the

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

right thing. Keep her on. You can save a lot of time, or energy or cost on Channel 25 asking for volunteers because what would be the greatest deterrent for me to serve is if I knew that I spent every minute that I had available to give to this City and dedicated my life to try to help and then after a term is done and I still wish to continue, I'm replaced. Thank you.

Mayor Craig: It should be noted that we have put the requests for volunteers on Channel 25 as we have pleaded that from this body several times for different volunteers. Just a side note there. Are there any other citizens that care to speak?

Larry Schouten, 4518 Vettelson Rd. - One of the items that I don't believe that came up in the discussions at the Plan Commission for all of the items that were to be either approved or disapproved regarding this development. I do not believe that there was any limitation put on the size of any of the buildings. I know there was an overall 150,000 square foot for the entire...

P. Schuman: 75% retail.

Mayor Craig: And the rest had to be non-retail.

L. Kuklinski: And you're talking like two-story...

Larry Schouten: That was even covered, but there was one thing that wasn't covered and that's the physical footprint of a particular building.

Mayor Craig: Well, the footprint was with the site plan that was being presented. That was step #1.

Larry Schouten: The grocery store at 55,000 square feet. I just wanted to point out that I think that 55,000 for a neighborhood shopping center is too large for that location and that requirement should be reduced for any future development. 55,000 square feet would take not my house, but my entire lot, about three times over. That's how huge 55,000 square feet is. So, it doesn't fit in that location. And I'd like to see that reduced if I could. Thank you.

Mayor Craig: Thank you, Larry. Are there any other citizens? Anyone else want to talk? Third and final time? Last chance... Motion to close.

P. SCHUMAN MOVED TO CLOSE THE CITIZEN'S COMMENTS. L. KUKLINSKI SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

3. CONSENT AGENDA

P. SCHUMAN MOVED TO APPROVE. E. SADOWSKI SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED

- a. Approval of Easement with WE Energies for the underground conversion of the City of Delafield property.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

- b. Approval to adopt Ordinance 515 to amend Chapters 5.06, 13.25, 13.29, 18.72, 18.73 and 18.74 of the Municipal Code relating to fire protection municipal utilities, sanitary sewers and sewer design, stormwater drainage facilities, water supply and water distribution.
- c. Approval of Agreement between the City of Delafield and EBIX regarding compliance with the requirements of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPPA).
- d. Approval of Agreement for Engineering and Design Services for Veteran's Memorial Riverwalk between the City of Delafield and Schreiber/Anderson Associates.

4. COMMITTEE REPORTS

a. APPROVAL OF COMMITTEE REPORTS

b. LICENSES

- 1. Two-year bartender license – Dawn M. Hetzel, 2519 Woodland Park Drive, Delafield; PDQ, 2694 Sun Valley Drive, Delafield.
- 2. Temporary Class B beer license – Delafield Chamber of Commerce, 421 Main Street, Delafield, Judy Kaufmann Applicant: Delafield Days, City Parking Lot, July 11-13, 2003

Temporary Bartender Licenses for Delafield Days, July 11-13, 2003
Larry Ridgen, 311 N. Taliesin Road, Wales, WI
Judy Kaufmann, 1651 Hillcrest Drive, Delafield, WI
Scott Steinhorst, 621 Broadmoore Drive, Hartland, WI
Nancy Russell, 2553 Sun Valley Drive, Delafield, WI

P. SCHUMAN MOVED TO APPROVE. E. SADOWSKI SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

c. PLAN COMMISSION

- 1. Consideration of General Development Plan review for Village Square.

P. Schuman: Well, we had a meeting on the 30th and 31st. Interesting meeting. It's often said that the City doesn't listen to its citizens. What Matt Carlson did and our attorney was listen to all the concerns that the citizens had regarding the Village Square and in a huge piece of paper with about 100 motions, we listed all of the concerns and conditions. Basically what happened, the Plan Commission was given a magic wand with unlimited wishes. What would you like to see there? We didn't exactly accept 100% what the developer proposed, but we had a lot of them, and I understand the document hasn't been prepared yet for distribution to the Council or even to the community, but a couple of highlights: There was a

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

concern about the cost to the City of the services required by this development and one of the conditions was in addition to the taxes paid, if any of the services exceeded these taxes, the developer would pay for that. That meant if we required another policeman and that was not covered by the taxes, the developer would pay for another policeman. There's concerns about the highways – Hwy. 83 – that's scheduled to be four lanes. One of the conditions was that the developer pays \$400,000 plus traffic lights going towards the cost of Hwy. 83 and this \$400,000 paid up front. The option to this is that the citizens of Delafield would pay 100% of the cost of Hwy. 83 which is estimated to be a little bit less than \$1M. So this \$400,000 would be either \$400,000 or 43% of the cost of the highway, whichever was greater. This was one of the stipulations. There were concerns about the well water and the surrounding residences. One of the stipulations would be that the developer would test all the wells and basically have them hook up to the system that the developer would have. The size was limited to 150,000 square feet and 75% of retail space. Some of the arguments and concerns was that the schools, like the City, is going to facing budget shortfalls due to the State of Wisconsin cutting back on shared revenue. The development, which is estimated to be around \$10M would, of all things, reduce property taxes since everything is equal, that may go along way towards solving the shortage of the tax revenue towards the schools. This would also help the surrounding communities, like the Village of Hartland – they share the same school systems that we in the City do. Having done all the stipulations and all the conditions, the Council voted not to approve it. I voted for it. How much influence I had was evident, but that's kind of the history there. We have an opportunity, I think, to create what we want in this place. We have listened to the citizens, which is why we've come up with these conditions. So I think it's important that these conditions be made known to the citizens and if they have additional conditions they would like or modifications, they should let us know or let your alderman know. It's been said we have a letter tonight in our packet from a lady who lives on Vettelson Road, Judith Hansen, and she says, "I urge the Plan Commission and Common Council to vote for/against any proposed development based on the zoning codes and the facts, not on the emotions of the people who should have known what was allowed in coming. If a developer meets the codes, then I think they should be allowed to develop. Vote on codes, not on bias or emotion." So that's the report from the Plan Commission. Mr. Mayor, did I leave anything out?

Mayor Craig: It should also be noted there are a number of letters that were against this project. I feel very uncomfortable with this body coming up with a decision this evening on this project. We do have one new member that is still trying to cut his teeth. I don't mean that to your learning, but there is a lot to read and study and understand.

P. Schuman: Well, we also don't have copies of this prepared...

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

Mayor Craig: More importantly, the minutes are still being transcribed.

P. Schuman: There's about 80 pages and counting...

Mayor Craig: In all fairness, I think it's only right that this body delay any decision if there's a topic of conversation or any information that you'd like us to explore. I have no problems with that. But I really have a problem making a decision without the record of the Plan Commission and also to give you a little more time to get your feet wet on this issue.

P. Schuman: Would a motion to table be in order at this time?

Mayor Craig: You could table it, but then we have tabled it and just delay it until the next meeting would be sufficient in my eyes...

M. Gardner: Is now the proper time to ask questions?

Mayor Craig: Now would be a good time to ask questions, yes.

J. Krickhahn: Don't you want to wait until it's on the part? Right now we're just going through the Committee Reports.

Mayor Craig: This is where it's listed - in this spot.

P. Schuman: It's not listed any place else on the agenda.

Mayor Craig: Okay.

M. Gardner: Phil, when you were... I guess I don't have to address Phil...

P. Schuman: Matt and the Mayor were also there.

M. Gardner: They were? So, I'll ask all three of you. A clarification. When you said about the water system, would you clarify, if you could say verbatim, were we asking them to hook up to Hartland...?

P. Schuman: We're not going to ask them - we're telling them.

M. Gardner: My assumption is correct, that we were telling them to hook up to Hartland as well as supply their own.

P. Schuman: Yes. If there are any charges from Hartland, if they exceed, I believe, the 500,000 gallons, any surcharges that would be directed to the City would then be paid by them. It'll be a backup system.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

Mayor Craig: This is not out of the ordinary. This is the very same developer that's done a similar thing in The Arbors subdivision.

M. Gardner: It was actually my suggestion...

P. Schuman: There were about 43 stipulations - I just hit some of the highlights of those.

M. Gardner: That was one of my big concerns and I want to clarify that I was to understand it. The other question I have is on the packet at the very beginning - I apologize - I probably should have addressed this before the meeting - when they were voting on Plan A and then the introduction of Plan B in the voting packet - was that not basing their vote on Plan B more saying that these are some of the requirements that we were suggesting did come forward with Plan B and to look at Plan B. What exactly was that?

Mayor Craig: It was the recommended plan to go with, it's what the body of the Plan Commission was seeking that they move the stormwater, go to smaller parking size, go to lesser size complex.

M. Gardner: Okay, so the fact that Plan B, if you will, was on the packet that the Plan Commission received to vote on, that was merely a statement that this is what they had deviated from in Plan A. Take a look at Plan B to refresh what your requirements were.

Mayor Craig: And we basically also on Plan B removed the senior citizen's build up at this time.

P. Schuman: Basically what we did, we started with their Plan A is what the court suggested and then we modified that to what we wanted.

L. Kuklinski: The very first condition is to move it to consider it based on the GDP plan from Plan A site plan from the revised Plan B. Those were the very first conditions and beyond that they went after that and the Findings of Fact were to be voted on the assumption that all of that was taking place.

M. Gardner: I just wanted to clarify - I had several questions and I assumed that was it, but I don't want to assume anything in this case when I'm answering questions.

P. Schuman: That water was item #30.

M. Gardner: And, my third and final question, was the consideration of square footage, that was the entire parcel taken into effect?

Mayor Craig: Minus the senior citizens - we drew the line at that north boundary.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

M. Gardner: Right. I just want to know if you could give me the background on why you drew the line. Again, I apologize for bringing this up right now, but it's been a tough week.

Mayor Craig: The only dumb question is the question not asked.

M. Gardner: I don't know if it's appropriate, but why did you decide not to consider the whole parcel in the square footage as far as taking out the senior citizen area?

Mayor Craig: We were dealing with the retail section of it. We were not going to be looking at the senior citizen component at this time. We were given a proposal in Plan B, but no specifics on it.

M. Gardner: So my question is, are we going include that?

P. Schuman: The senior housing would have to come in at a separate time. It's not going to be approved at this time.

M. Gardner: Right, then we'll go back to square one again.

P. Schuman: What we're doing here, read between the lines, is that there was a lot of discussion about the senior housing that's problematic, so we elected here not to make this a problem at this time. No senior housing there. There were questions on what type of road goes through there. Some people didn't want any road. There was a discussion about the Wisconsin Department of Transportation requiring a road. Our staff recommended a road in there. It's been their custom to have more than one way in and out. So that was some discussion that way. So, basically, as I said, Matt and the attorney listened to all the comments from previous meetings and came with a list to try to resolve those concerns. Not everybody is going to be happy with it.

M. Gardner: No, I just wanted to clarify those things. I appreciate your taking the time.

P. Schuman: So, I just summarized 5 hours in a few minutes.

M. Gardner: I knew you couldn't get it all and I kept watching, but right around 11:30, I ...

P. Schuman: Well, if the truth be known a lot of us would have liked to have left around 11, but everybody was there and we had some things we needed to accomplish while it was fresh in everybody's mind.

Mayor Craig: Mike, just to let you know, welcome by the way. We are on item 4c, dealing with the Village Square. The topic is should this body make a decision tonight. I feel it's totally inappropriate since we

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

don't have the minutes and we also have one person that still needs to cut his teeth more on the issue.

E. Sadowski: I have a question – a quick question. If my arithmetic is right, we have two meetings prior to our deadline of June 11th. Is there an advantage to doing this the next meeting, or is there a disadvantage by not doing it at the next meeting, or do we have two meetings to actually come to a vote and absorb the information?

Mayor Craig: I believe you are right.

M. Carlson: You could have a series of special meetings if that's what you felt you needed.

E. Sadowski: So there's no sense of urgency – no advantage or disadvantage to doing it next meeting. We could do it the first meeting in June.

L. Kuklinski: I think that was the primary reason we requested the extension and I think it's our due diligence to take that time and get as much information as we can and take those minutes and read through them.

E. Sadowski: In other words, if we do want to make any type of a motion, we don't have to put it on the next agenda for our next meeting, but we would have to put it on the first meeting of June, if we so desire.

Mayor Craig: Or have a special meeting sometime before the 11th is the only requirement that we have. We could just have one special meeting pertaining to this subject if we chose to do it. I don't know if that's recommended at this time, but it's something to think about.

P. Schuman: I think we ought to see how soon we get those minutes done and perhaps they need to be reviewed by the Plan Commission to see if there's any changes on that and then we can meet with those after they review those. There are about 80 pages, I think. It was 5 hours after that.

L. Kuklinski: You mean you want the Plan Commission to actually go through the meeting and approve the minutes?

P. Schuman: That's an option, the other thing we can bring it on the next City Council meeting and we should have at least the draft submitted to us by then.

L. Kuklinski: Is there going to be a special Plan Commission meeting in between for those people because there were a number of people that weren't addressed?

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

M. Carlson: Right, we're trying to get that special Plan Commission meeting set. We're having a very difficult time getting a quorum for that special meeting. I'm a little concerned that we're not going to get a special Plan Commission meeting and all of the business will be pushed to the meeting on the 28th of May.

M. Gardner: I agree with Phil's suggestion to have the Plan Commission look over the minutes just in case it seems like double duty if we're addressing an issue from the Plan Commission ... doesn't approve that section of the minutes.

L. Kuklinski: I don't know that I want to be pressured though to wait until the last minute because based on ...

E. Sadowski: We're going to get a draft, right?

L. Kuklinski: I guess I would like them to respond as soon as they get the draft to get back to Matt. We wouldn't have to wait for formal approval of the minutes. We could say that certainly within three days of that going out. We don't want to put ourselves under the same pressure we just got relieved from by waiting until the 28th and then having our one meeting and then if we have more questions that evolve from that.

Mayor Craig: Review that yourselves, see if you have any questions, then we could list it in a similar manner.

L. Kuklinski: If there are requests for information, things that I would like in helping me go through my decision and applying it to the minutes, would you like that list, or should I just e-mail you?

M. Carlson: I would appreciate it if you would e-mail that list to me.

L. Kuklinski: I will e-mail everyone.

M. Gardner: They are to be addressed to you and then you pass them on to the Plan Commission?

M. Carlson: I'll address the issues.

J. Krickhahn: I would personally like to see some of the reports that we haven't, we new people, have not had --tree inventories, things of that nature. The reasons why...

Mayor Craig: That's based upon the first presentation since the first step of the Plan Commission was to change to the second, many of that is obsolete.

M. Carlson: Maybe it would be beneficial to review the file and go through the box and say I want a copy of this, I want a copy of this, etc.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

J. Krickhahn: I had a question in the Van Dyke report. That was also a DNR ruling – that they had listed that there had to be a variance filed for any of the neighboring wells because of the retaining pond or the size of the retaining pond and it had to be 1200 feet from any of the neighboring wells.

Mayor Craig: That was with the first plan.

P. Schuman: We moved that to the west side of the road.

J. Krickhahn: I understand that but that had to be within 50 feet of Hanson's well then.

M. Carlson: Let me make a summary content about that notion. In the City of Delafield municipal code, there are several chapters that deal with development. Chapter 17 which is our zoning code. Chapter 18 which is our subdivision code specifies how thick the pavement needs to be on the roads that are constructed. Chapter 23 deals with stormwater management erosion control. In addition to the City of Delafield municipal code, there are also other layers of regulations that a property owner has to comply with in order to move forward with any development. The City of Delafield only concerns itself with those items that the City controls. If a developer then needs a Chapter 30 permit, as an example, from the Department of Natural Resources, and let's say for example the DNR says we're not going to give you a Chapter 30 permit to do this development. They can't then go build the development. They still need to comply with the DNR requirements and the City of Delafield requirements. So, it's not as if you substitute one approval or one consideration for another. A developer has to meet all of the requirements. Does that make sense?

J. Krickhahn: Yes.

M. Carlson: So, let's say for example they cited their stormwater facility in the wrong location in accordance with the DNR standard, they still have to comply with the DNR standard. And if that necessitates a change to the general development plan, the process for amending the general development plan is the same process for approving the original plan. Public hearing, Plan Commission recommendation, City Council final approval. Does that make sense?

Mayor Craig: If it's all right with this body, let's do a continuance to the next meeting. If you have any questions or concerns, definitely get them to Matt. Hopefully you'll be able to break some time away in your schedule also during hours.

M. Carlson: I would strongly encourage any of you to come in and look at the file. Please let me know. We'll copy anything that you

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

want in there. The file is expansive. There's a lot of material in it. We'll get you anything you want.

M. Gardner: I think that's a really good idea.

L. Kuklinski: Matt, do you have a summary of the Lake Country Crossing file as well that might be available?

M. Carlson: There is a general development plan included in the Lake Country Crossing file. We can pull that, also.

L. Kuklinski: I'd like that available.

E. Sadowski: Matt, you have the tape - the actual meeting. I was out of town for the Plan Commission? Do we have to watch it at City Hall?

Mayor Craig: You can get a copy.

M. Carlson: It's been playing on Cable TV all week

P. Schuman: We're working on your endurance so you can be a real Council member.

E. Sadowski: Before we leave this, is it going to be listed the same way under Plan Commission consideration of general development plan? It's not actually going to be on the agenda - it's just going to be listed the same way?

M. Carlson: You could take action on it even the way it's listed tonight.

Mayor Craig: Most appropriately under old business.

M. Carlson: We'll put it wherever you like.

L. Kuklinski: I think we've had that discussion before that we'd like to see it that way. I think it is less confusing to people and then they understand that it's not just going to be reported on - it's actually going to be a discussion item.

M. Carlson: Ellen will send out an e-mail to you letting you know when the next time is that we'll put it on Cable TV so you can gear up your VCR at home and tape it at home. That's the fastest way to get it to you.

Mayor Craig: Assuming all of us having cable.

M. Carlson: Oh, you don't have cable. Do you need the tape?

Mayor Craig: I do get copies.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

J. Krickhahn: Does the Council feel that it would be in our best interest to get together for just this issue and just discuss this alone?

Mayor Craig: Let's see.

L. Kuklinski: After we get all the other minutes and stuff and see where we're at.

J. Krickhahn: So that would be set after the next two weeks.

M. Carlson: We have time.

L. Kuklinski: Let's see how much we can absorb in two weeks and then we'll know...

J. Krickhahn: I certainly don't want to wait until the last minute and feel pressured all over again.

Mayor Craig: Let's see what happens on the 19th.

M. Gardner: So Matt, when you get the questions, you'll address them and that will go out to all of us by e-mail. Then we'll have a chance to just compile that and digest that. I'm assuming that any of us deem it necessary to call a special meeting.

Mayor Craig: You're okay with that?

d. LAKE WELFARE COMMITTEE

Mayor Craig: I want to compliment, first of all, before I let you go on to Lake Welfare, you did an excellent job at the meeting. You and your committee should be highly commended. You did a very good job of putting together a wonderful meeting, very informative and bringing the proper people in and providing excellent information.

P. Schuman: Thank you very much. Got a good committee working there. I especially want to thank Jerry Bills. He did a lot of work during the presentation and filling in for the U.S. Geological Survey folks who couldn't make it, that was Herb Garn. He did a wonderful job along with Ken Attwell on developing the survey we passed out. We prepared 100 copies and we ran out, so we had standing room only, which was excellent. I managed to save one copy of that. Perhaps we can put it on the web site. People can see it and print it out and send it in. We also looked at maybe doing some extra mailings with this, too. So, it was well received. Linda was there. Lots of good questions on dredging. I understand that a lot of people are very interested in it. There are some frustrations - some of the areas they want dredged are designated sensitive areas by the DNR. That's certainly an area of negotiation with the DNR. One of the other things that Vierbicher did was make a map of the lake and the various areas we were looking at dredging. Estimated what a total area would be and what may be a navigation would

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

be – Plan A and Plan B. The question is, where are we going to put this to save costs if and when we do this, it should be an area close to the dredging area. One of the things I talked about in my presentation was the institutionalization of the lake in the whole City of Delafield. One of the areas I think Marily Gardner in her Parks & Rec, part of our area we'll look into is the Bark River and she's done an excellent job with the Memorial Parkway and Cushing. So, one of the areas we're looking at dredging is the Mill Pond. Some of the areas we're looking at possibly of putting the spoils might be some of the parks. We're going to test it to make sure nothing evil is in there. We don't want to cause any problems with that, but you have Geason Park that might be close to some of the areas on the east side. So this gets involved within our Committee cooperation. So these are some of the areas we'll be looking at. Those of you who have not turned in your surveys, please do so. Those of you who are interested in filling one out, please come to City Hall or in a few days it will hopefully be on the web site and you can download it yourself and then send it to us. We want some input. Like any survey, we're not going to have 100% participation, but hopefully we'll get a good sample which will give us a good indication of people's concerns with this. There's a lot of work involved with this. Again, I want to thank my committee for all of their hard work in doing this – it's a real team effort. Any questions?

M. Gardner: Nice job.

Mayor Craig: It should be noted that the dredging will include the park plan, but will not be all-inclusive. There may be other alternative sites.

P. Schuman: There may be alternative sites, but I happen to pick on Marily because she's here. I'm looking at her.

Mayor Craig: Anything else?

P. Schuman: We'll be meeting on the 14th.

- e. PARK AND RECREATION COMMISSION (draft minutes of April 24, 2003 meeting)

M. Gardner: We had a brief meeting this evening at 6:30 to approve, which is on the agenda, the engineer contract for Schreiber/Anderson Associates, Inc., and Foth and Van Dyke for Veteran's Memorial Walk. That was approved unanimously so that contract will be brought forth for the Common Council this evening. One thing I think is really important that you know is that the contract came in around \$45,000. That was pretty much across the board what everyone came in with. I think it's important that you know that the money is already in the bank to pay these people. So it's not like it's coming out of the City's capital. The cash is there and ready to be paid. We have put out the City-wide survey. The people got their packet. They got the combined agreement for the Park & Rec which was just excellent. Catherine Rahmlow and Sue worked on it – they did an excellent job. I've heard really great things. People are excited and have a lot of activities planned for children and adults and a lot of field trips. That'll be

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

nice. In there also is the survey that also went out. We've had 50 responses so far. We're hoping for more. We've also gotten a lot of phone calls offering to volunteer. So, all in all, things are going very well.

Mayor Craig: It should be noted that in Consent we did make the approval.

M. Gardner: Oh yes.

f. PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

D. Swain: We'll be meeting Wednesday night.

L. Kuklinski: Don, when you have your meeting, the next time you can come, can we get an update on the Genesee Street reconstruction and just kind of reinform us to what the plan is?

M. Carlson: You're stealing my thunder. I'm here to help.

M. Gardner: Way to make an impression, Matt.

g. DEL-HART COMMISSION

L. Kuklinski: We meet the 20th.

Mayor Craig: We have groundbreaking on the 20th.

h. RECYCLING COMMITTEE

E. Sadowski: We scheduled our next meeting for July 14th. We also discussed contracts and also talked about expanding the board and the responsibilities and chatted a little bit how we could be more effective for Delafield.

i. POLICE AND FIRE COMMISSION

E. Sadowski: There was no meeting.

j. LIBRARY BOARD

J. Krickhahn: We meet next Tuesday.

k. FINANCE ADVISORY BOARD

L. Kuklinski: We meet next Tuesday

l. OTHER

Mayor Craig: There is no other.

5. OLD BUSINESS

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

a. DISCUSS HARTLAND SPORTSMAN'S CLUB CONDITIONAL USE

M. Carlson: The City received a complaint about the operation of the Hartland Sportsman's Club. You heard a little bit about that complaint earlier this evening from Mr. Skelton. Previous to that incident, the City had also received a complaint back in July of 2002 and we sat down with the representatives of the Club and discussed a variety of issues related to the compliance for the Hartland Sportsman's Club. The City of Delafield signed the conditional use permit with the Club in September of 1997. Since that time, the Wisconsin Legislature adopted a statute that provided certain protections for gun clubs. State statute 895.527 and that state statute gives certain rights to existing gun clubs with respect to encroachment issues and adjacent land uses. We've got really two different kind of regulations that govern a club. One is the mutually signed conditional use agreement which was signed back in 1997 and this new state statute. So, from our perspective we don't really get a whole lot involved in the state statutes other than to know that it's out there. Our job was to review the conditional use permit and to determine whether or not the Club was operating within the confines of the conditional use agreement. So in July of 2002, we sent out our police officers to do an inspection and they came back with an inspection report. I sat down with the representatives from the Club, walked through the compliance issues and, I'm happy to report that when we sent the inspection crew out there again in March of this year, March 2003, based primarily in part from Mr. Skelton's complaint, the two dovetailed together. What we discovered was that the Club had made significant progress on the conditions in the conditional use with one exception. They did not build a noise abatement structure that was required under the conditional use permit. The summary memo that is included in your packet outlines all of the conditions that were reviewed and all of the conditions that were complied with. And the summary statement that Roger Dupler makes in his statement is that we need to take a look at this issue from the perspective of the conditional use. Are they complying with the conditional use, but we really also need a review from Bill Chapman from the legal side of the issue. In April of 2003, I asked Bill Chapman to take a look at this issue. His suggestion was basically the same. We need to do some additional research on what this new state statute means, at the same time we pursue compliance with the conditional use. His suggestion was that we sit down with the representatives from the Club and talk about what their intentions are with respect to complying with the conditional use and noise abatement structure and it can be very simple. If they are intending on complying, we need to know what their schedule is for complying and we need to keep them on that schedule. If their intentions are not to comply, then we need to have another dialogue with the City Council to perhaps initiate the process to revoke the conditional use. And so that's the recommendation from our city attorney. It's to sit down with the representatives from the Club, try to work out a schedule for compliance with the noise abatement structure, while at the same time doing legal research on the state statutes and what this state statutory protection does in terms of the conditional use agreement. I would make that recommendation to you.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

P. Schuman: Do we have an indication of the size of the bullet that was found in this gentleman's skylight?

M. Carlson: We didn't do any ballistic testing on it, but we believe it's between a 22 and 38. It's a smaller caliber bullet.

P. Schuman: It's not a high-powered...

M. Carlson: We don't believe so.

L. Kuklinski: How far is his property from the gun club?

M. Carlson: Mr. Skelton, maybe you could answer that question.

Mayor Craig: Step up to the microphone.

T. Skelton: My backyard butts up to Golf Road. So it's basically Golf Road, the expressway, whatever the other frontage road is, the Brewhouse and then they are right on the other side of the Brewhouse. So, I'm easily within ½ mile. I'd just like to say one comment as far as if it's a lower caliber, smaller caliber, it's a 22 caliber bullet – to me that's still a high powered – that bullet can travel more than a mile. And if it hits my daughters, it doesn't matter how small it is.

Mayor Craig: A bullet is a bullet.

P. Schuman: I was merely trying to ascertain what type it was because there are things which are considered high caliber. If it hits you, of course, it does some damage.

J. Krickhahn: Were they figuring this was a ricochet, or something that was just shot in the air?

Mayor Craig: We have no idea of the source of this. None whatsoever. We don't know who shot, when shot, where shot ... could be anywhere from the park in the town that's neighboring your property to the freeway to any one of those two roads. God forbid anybody in anyplace else...

M. Roberts: Was the gun club open at that time, that they were there? Does anybody know that?

M. Carlson: When you met with the club representatives, their response was that they didn't have anybody on the range at that time.

T. Skelton: They said somebody was on the range Saturday and I've got the letter – I think I forwarded it on to you that there were smaller calibers shot at that time.

P. Schuman: That would be like a 22.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

T. Skelton: He had mentioned, I thought it was a 30 aught 6, that there wasn't any high caliber bullets being shot.

P. Schuman: 30.06 is considered high-powered.

T. Skelton: Right. In the letter, Matt, I believe you have that also, that smaller calibers were being shot that Saturday. I don't know what time it happened Saturday. It's a skylight. What happened, it was the exterior pane that shattered. When the glass man came to replace it, he basically said its tempered glass, you can hit it with a hammer and chances are you aren't going to break it. I'm guessing that it was discharged up into the air and it fell, but still, it went through tempered glass.

E. Sadowski: Have there ever been any incidents reported from this gun club that anybody knows - just off the top of your head - have we had any complaints like this or incidents like this?

M. Carlson: Not since I've been here.

P. Schuman: I don't think there have been any bullets, but there have been complaints of noise. That's in my district and I have heard complaints of noise, which prompted the discussion of last spring on the insulation and enclosure of the 50 yard range. I think that should be done. If there's any ricochet or anything like that, especially the noise, it needs to be taken care of. It should be done very soon.

Mayor Craig: I'm a firm believer that if you have property and been conditionally allowed to do whatever on your property, you should be allowed. But if you aren't going to be living up to the agreement, you null and void that agreement and you lose that right. I think that this structure is needed to be in compliance and I would like to see Matt meet with this group and insist that they create this, along with this investigation of Bill Chapman's, so that we are well prepared if they are not going to comply.

P. Shuman: I would agree with that.

J. Krickhahn: Do we regulate the hours of business that they're open?

M. Carlson: They vary by day of the week. We'll get you a copy of the conditional use permit that specifies their hours of operation.

J. Krickhahn: It concerns me if he thought that they were going up to nearly 10:00 at night.

Mayor Craig: That seems late.

J. Krickhahn: Yes, it does.

E. Sadowski: Another thing I hear, there's alcohol consumption at the clubhouse.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

Mayor Craig: In the clubhouse only, but anybody who uses alcohol is not allowed on the range, period. I do know some of the gentlemen there and they are very, very strict on that ruling.

E. Sadowski: So do they have an actual liquor license there?

M. Carlson: Not that I'm aware of.

Mayor Craig: I think it's just friendly use.

E. Sadowski: So they can bring in a half barrel and just get drunk?

Mayor Craig: Your implication is that they can get drunk and then use the range. I'm telling you that they do have a hall there, yes. Do they drink and then shoot--absolutely not.

E. Sadowski: So it's basically BYO. There's no liquor license that's involved.

Mayor Craig: Not that I'm aware.

L. Kuklinski: Can we look into that more because if they don't have a liquor license then I don't know why, even if it's in the conditional use, they'd be able to consume.

M. Carlson: It's a private club.

M. Gardner: If you're going to have a reception or something or have your graduation there.

L. Kuklinski: But even if you are a private club, you don't have to have that? Oh. If you're not selling it.

J. Krickhahn: I gather they police themselves as far as the in-house rule that "no alcohol, no shooting"?

Mayor Craig: Absolutely, absolutely. It's one of those strict things that they are very firm on that I know from experience in talking to other people that use the club.

L. Kuklinski: One other question. The one area that they were out of compliance in on the conditions, would something like that have prevented this?

Mayor Craig: Assuming that it came from there.

L. Kuklinski: Right, his property or any others. I don't want to spend time trying to determine the probability of whether it was or wasn't, what I want to spend time on is making sure that it would never happen. Not that I'm not concerned about your particular issue. What can we do? What does it

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

take for us to amend the conditional use if we feel if there's not enough in place?

M. Carlson: I don't think you can insulate us from any kind of an incident at the gun club at all with respect to the firearms, but I think the same is true about highways in this country. You can't stop people from driving over the speed limit. We use due diligence in enforcing the regulations, but do we stop every speeder? No, we don't. We respond to conditional use complaints when we receive complaints, which we've done in this case. I separate the issues of the bullet incident from the conditional use - does the property conform with the conditional use. If they don't, we have a duty and obligation to take action to enforce that conditional use. I think your question is if we don't have something in the conditional use that would provide what you believe to be adequate safeguards, can you amend the conditional use? You can do that. You can amend a conditional use, public hearing, Plan Commission recommendation, Council approval. But the property owner has to agree to them. If they don't agree to them, then the conditional use doesn't have any effect.

L. Kuklinski: But if it's a health and safety concern, they still have to agree to it?

M. Carlson: I think that's an issue that we need to include in our legal review.

L. Kuklinski: Okay, would you please?

M. Carlson: Would you by motion direct us to do that?

L. KUKLINSKI MADE A MOTION TO THAT EFFECT. M. GARDNER SECONDED THE MOTION.

P. SCHUMAN: ONE OF THE OTHER THINGS WE HAVE HERE IS THE LAST ITEM, #26. THERE'S NO RECORD THAT THE PLAN COMMISSION REVIEWED THIS CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT AFTER THE ONE YEAR ANNIVERSARY. IS THERE SOMETHING ON OUR CODE THAT REQUIRES THE PLAN COMMISSION TO REVIEW SOMETHING LIKE THIS?

M. CARLSON: NO, IT WAS A CONDITION IN THE CONDITIONAL USE ITSELF.

E. SADOWSK: SO BY NOT REVIEWING IT, WE CANNOT REVIEW IT ANY LONGER? IT'S JUST IN EFFECT, IS THAT BASICALLY IT?

M. CARLSON: I THINK IT'S A MUTE ISSUE.

MAYOR CRAIG: IT WASN'T DONE.

P. SCHUMAN: ONCE WE RECEIVE THE LEGAL REVIEW WE CAN CERTAINLY TAKE FURTHER ACTION IF IT'S WARRANTED.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

6. MAYOR'S REPORT

a. Appointments

Finance Advisory Board

Jeff Ackley	2-yr term	2005
Brian Faracy	2-yr term	2005
Mike Scheible	2-yr term	2005

P. SCHUMAN MOTIONED TO APPROVE. E. SADOWSKI SECONDED. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

Mayor Craig: An excellent committee. I look forward to the results of this budget process.

Lake Welfare Committee

Colleen Costigan	2-yr term	2005
Steve Headley	2-yr term	2005
Dennis Hart	2-yr term	2005
Bonnie Fieber (Alt)	1-yr term	2004
Tom Williamson (Alt)	2-yr term	2005

Mayor Craig: It should be noted that A. Zietlow is being removed. I want to thank him for his services. I appreciate all that he has done and look forward to working with him in maybe other areas.

E. SADOWSKI MOTIONED TO APPROVE. P. SCHUMAN SECONDED. NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

Park and Rec

Michelle Shea	1-yr term	2004
Julie Kita	3-yr term	2006
Sean Heinzl	1-yr term	2004
Beth Leonard	3-yr term	2005

Mayor Craig: With the aldermanic election, we have a new change of position. We also need to change some of these numbers. I gave it to the office with a typo. Michelle Shea should be at the 1-yr. term, and Julie a 3-year term. No reflection upon them, it's just the way that its falling out.

M. Gardner: Can you explain the turnaround?

Mayor Craig: As far as the turnaround with the numbers?

M. Gardner: Yes.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

Mayor Craig: Julie has been a proven commodity. Nothing against Michelle. We finally got to speak – it was a lot of phone tag there back and forth.

D. SWAIN MOTIONED TO APPROVE. M. ROBERTS SECONDED. NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

Plan Commission

Richard Kuchler 1-yr term 2004

Mayor Craig: Very controversial. You've asked me to explain my rationale and thinking. I can tell you all what I've told you one-on-one, this situation occurred after the election. It was a very, very hard thing for me to do. I recommended Diri for this position last year. I thoroughly enjoyed her services. She does an outstanding job. This appointment or change of appointment should be no reflection upon her services whatsoever. I have high, high regards for this lady. My only problem is that it was geography situation. We have five positions that this body can approve. Three of those would be in a very narrow area. Previous bodies, councils have tried to point the Mayor to make appointments throughout the city so that we get a broad representation of the entire city. Seeing this, I put the word out, I spoke to many people. I did get reminded by a couple of people of an incident that we had in Steeple Point with a drainage pond. This gentleman is the president of the association. He had a very thorny, very difficult situation as many of the Plan Commission's situations can be and has been in the past. He showed me that he is able to handle people and inform people and work with people in a polite manner, in a firm manner and in a straight and forward manner. He also looked at the situation not from the simply "what did he want" scenario, he was looking at it from an environmentally sound fashion. He showed me that he was able to work with the developer and try to find an economically pleasing but yet a result that was please to the people, also. It is on that basis that I put Richard Kuchler up for the Plan Commission.

M. ROBERTS MOTIONED TO APPROVE. D. SWAIN SECONDED.

Mayor Craig: Are there any questions or debate.

E. SADOWSKI: HOW LONG HAS HE BEEN A RESIDENT?

MAYOR CRAIG: HE'S BEEN A RESIDENT FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS. HE'S FAIRLY NEW. HE IS A STRUCTURAL ENGINEER. HE'S ALSO A SMALL BUSINESS OWNER AND I THINK THOSE ELEMENTS WOULD BE GOOD TO ADD TO OUR PLAN COMMISSION.

J. KRICKHAHN: DO YOU THINK IT'S THE BEST TIME RIGHT NOW, THOUGH, TO BE MIXING THINGS UP?

MAYOR CRAIG: THIS ISSUE, I'M ASSUMING YOU'RE ADDRESSING IS THE VILLAGE SQUARE ISSUE?

J. KRICKHAHN: THAT WOULD BE ONE OF THE ISSUES, I GUESS.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

MAYOR CRAIG: THERE ARE ALWAYS CONTINUING ASPECTS OF THE CITY IN THE CHANGING OF THE SUIT, NOT NECESSARILY SUIT, BUT ISSUES THAT PRESENT TO THE CITY. YOU COULD SAY THAT ON ANY APPOINTMENT AT ANY TIME, ANYWHERE, EVEN WITH PARK AND REC, WE'RE IN THE MIDDLE OF TWO MAJOR PROJECTS GOING ON HERE WITH THE VETERANS WALK AND GEASEN PARK. WE CAN GO TO ANY OTHER COMMITTEE, LAKE WELFARE - WE'RE DEALING WITH THE DREDGING. YOU COULD AT ANY POINT SAY THAT IN THE HISTORY OF THE CITY. I'M HOPING THAT WITH THE VILLAGE SQUARE ISSUE THAT THE PLAN COMMISSION IS FINISHED WITH IT IN THE ASPECT THAT IT'S NOW IN THE HANDS OF THIS BODY. THEY HAVE MADE THEIR RECOMMENDATION TWICE NOW TO THIS BODY.

J. KRICKHAHN: AGAIN, THIS IS NOTHING AGAINST MR. KUCHLER, I'M SURE HE'S A FINE MAN FOR THE POSITION AND THE JOB. MY CONCERN IS THE 162 PEOPLE THAT VOTED THAT WANTED TO KEEP DIRI; THE OTHER PEOPLE THAT CAME FORTH AND YOU AND I HAD SPOKE, TOO, IT'S NOT JUST FROM THE FIRST OR THE FIRST AND SECOND DISTRICT, IT IS ALL AROUND. I BELIEVE THE REPRESENTATION IS THERE. I THINK THAT SHE DOES HAVE THE BEST PART OF THE WHOLE CITY OF DELAFIELD AT BEST. I THINK THAT THERE'S A LARGE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE HAVE COME FORTH FROM AROUND THE CITY TO SAY THAT.

MAYOR CRAIG: I HAVE TO TELL YOU IN ALL HONESTY IN MY TALKS WITH MANY OF THE CITIZENS, I'M GETTING BOTH SIDES OF THAT ISSUE. I'M GETTING LARGE NUMBER SAYING YES, NOW IS A GOOD TIME TO GET THAT BROAD REPRESENTATION OF THE CITY. THEN, I'M ALSO GETTING SUPPORT FOR DIRI. I'M NOT GOING TO DENY THAT. I'M IN DIRI'S CAMP. I ENJOY HER INPUT. HOWEVER, IF I COULD MOVE DIRI AND HAVE HER MOVE, I'D GET THE BEST OF BOTH WORLDS. UNFORTUNATELY, SHE'S NOT WILLING TO AND I CAN'T AFFORD TO MOVE HER. BUT I THINK IT'S BEEN THE DIRECTION OF THE COUNCIL IN THE PAST TO LOOK FOR A BROAD REPRESENTATION. I FEEL THAT THIS IS THE BEST TIME TO DO THIS.

P. SCHUMAN: ALSO, THIS IS THE ONLY TIME TO DO THIS UNLESS SOMEONE RESIGNS, IS IT NOT, MR. MAYOR?

MAYOR CRAIG: CORRECT.

P. SCHUMAN: BECAUSE APPOINTMENTS ARE DUE AT THE END OF APRIL. THAT'S WHEN THE PERIOD IS UP. SO, IF NOBODY IS APPOINTED, THERE'S NOBODY ON THE PLAN COMMISSION - THERE'S A HOLE IN THAT. SO THIS IS THE ONLY WINDOW THE MAYOR HAS TO APPOINT SOMEBODY.

E. SADOWSKI: I GUESS I WAS A LITTLE SHOCKED TO GET 162 SIGNATURES ON THIS AND I THOUGHT LONG AND HARD ABOUT THIS,

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

BUT THE MAYOR WAS ELECTED BY ALL THE PEOPLE AND IF HE FEELS THAT THIS IS AN APPOINTMENT THAT HE WANTS TO MAKE, THE MAYOR'S APPOINTMENTS ARE APPROVED. SO, I'D LIKE TO ADD THAT, TOO.

MAYOR CRAIG: I'M NOT LOOKING FOR BLIND APPROVAL. I'M NOT SEEKING THAT.

E. SADOWSKI: YOU'VE HAD SOLID REASONS FOR IT.

MAYOR CRAIG: I DON'T MAKE DECISIONS VERY EASILY AND LIGHTLY, ESPECIALLY THIS ONE. AS I STATED IN MY LETTER, THIS IS ONE OF THE MOST DIFFICULT THINGS I'VE EVER DONE - TAKE SOMEBODY OFF THAT YOU HIGHLY REGARD AND COMPLIMENT THEM IN THE JOB THEY'RE DOING - STRICTLY FOR A REASON THAT'S BEYOND THEIR CONTROL - WHERE THEY LIVE. I LOOK AT THE TWO OTHER APPOINTMENTS FOR THIS COMMISSION AND ONE OF THEM WAS TOTALLY OUT OF MY HANDS - PARK AND REC. NOT TO SAY THAT BETH IS BAD; SHE'S GOOD REPRESENTATION. THE OTHER BEING THE CHAIR OF THE LAKE WELFARE COMMITTEE. THAT'S THEIR CHOICES AND THOSE TWO ARE OUT OF MY HANDS. THIS IS THE ONLY ONE I COULD REALLY DEAL WITH.

M. GARDNER: I HAVE THE SAME SENTIMENTS THAT ERV HAS AND I THINK WE ALL THINK THAT DIRI HAS DONE A VERY FINE JOB AND REPRESENTS THE PEOPLE OF DELAFIELD VERY WELL. BUT AS I WENT TO BE AN ALDERPERSON IN MADISON I DID FIND OUT THAT BY LAW, THAT IS THE MAYOR'S PREROGATIVE AND I THINK THAT WE CAN'T REAPPOINT HER, IF THAT'S WHAT HE IS SUGGESTING TO DO. I CERTAINLY WANT TO GIVE MR. KUCHLER A FAIR SHAKE. ALTHOUGH I DON'T KNOW HIM, I'M SURE HE'S A FINE APPOINTMENT.

E. SADOWSKI: WE CANNOT APPOINT, WE CAN JUST APPROVE. THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE ASKING US TO DO RIGHT NOW.

M. GARDNER: YOU'RE ASKING US TO APPROVE, NOT TO REAPPOINT.

MAYOR CRAIG: CORRECT. IT ALSO SHOULD BE NOTED THAT I DID OFFER DIRI OTHER POSITIONS AND SHE DECLINED.

L. KUKLINSKI: I DO WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT, TOO, AND I DON'T WANT TO BE REDUNDANT. EVERYBODY'S MADE THE COMMENT. I JUST THINK SO HIGHLY OF DIRI AND THIS HAS PROBABLY HAS BEEN AS DIFFICULT FOR ME AS IT WAS FOR THE MAYOR. BUT, TO KIND OF ECHO WHAT YOU'VE SAID, I ALSO HAVE THE HIGHEST RESPECT FOR OUR MAYOR, AND IT'S HIS APPOINTMENT AND I CERTAINLY DON'T WANT ISSUES LIKE THIS TO CREATE DISCENSION AMONG THE COUNCIL. I THINK THEY'RE BOTH FINE REPRESENTATIVES. I GUESS THE KEY IS THAT TO SAY NO OR TO GO AGAINST IT ISN'T GOING TO PUT DIRI ON THE COMMISSION. THEREFORE, I'D LIKE TO SHOW SUPPORT FOR THE MAYOR'S APPOINTMENT.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

**MAYOR CRAIG: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? QUESTION WAS CALLED.
FIVE WERE IN FAVOR. J. KRICKHAHN OPPOSED. MOTION CARRIED.**

Police and Fire

Tom Hennig	5-yr term	2008
------------	-----------	------

L. Kuklinski: Is that a 5-year term?

Mayor Craig: This is a 5-year term by ordinance – a state statute.

L. Kuklinski: Okay. I just happened to notice that it was pretty lengthy. So, are all the appointments for that commission five years?

Mayor Craig: I do believe that there are some variances with that.

L. Kuklinski: But this one is for 5 years?

Mayor Craig: He's been on for a number of years. I know Tom personally and have high regards for him, also.

E. Sadowski: Who is chair of the Police Department?

Mayor Craig: John Wyssling.

E. Sadowski: Oh he is? I didn't know that. Cool.

**M. ROBERTS MOTIONED TO APPROVE. E. SADOWSKI SECONDED.
THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR.
MOTION CARRIED.**

Public Works

Dan Jashinsky	3-yr term	2006
Bruce Neumiller	3-yr term	2006

Mayor Craig: These are previous members also going back on.

**L. KUKLINSKI MOTIONED TO APPROVE. E. SADOWSKI SECONDED.
THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR.
MOTION CARRIED.**

Zoning Appeals

Henry Bills	3-yr term	2006
Keith Strege	3-yr term	2006

Mayor Craig: They've been on the Committee, I appreciate their services. This past year we did have a little speed bump and they were quite influential in trying to resolve that issue.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

P. SCHUMAN MOTIONED TO APPROVE. E. SADOWSKI SECONDED. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

Mayor Craig: Before we leave the issue of Mayor's Business, I'd like to report that I have been in conversation with many of you Council members and appreciate the time you've sacrificed in those conversations. I also talked to Matt and Marilyn. Also potential Committee members and past Committee members - I would like to thank those who were on past Committee's and have served. I look forward to working with you in other areas. Also I do make random calls and contacts and we're doing pretty good. I have a question for Ellen. Did the American Legion flags come into the office? It should be noted by the citizens out there and those that are here that City Hall does have American flags to commemorate that you are an immediate family member of a person that is in the Middle East and I want to thank the American Legion for supplying those. They did a nice job in quickly getting those at no cost. We're asking that anybody who does have a member in the Armed Services serving currently (an immediate family member), feel free to come to City Hall and get a flag and put it in your window. It should be quite an honor. I was also blessed and allowed to attend a birthday party for the American Legion which was a good time. I do want to note, also, that office hours for myself next Wednesday. They are currently 6-8; however, we do have a Plan Commission at 7:00 on that evening. I would have to shorten them from 6-7 so that I can attend that meeting. But, I would invite anybody to come and speak to me on any variety of issues that you chose to. With that said...

J. Krickhahn: Mayor, may I just ask a real quick question?

Mayor Craig: Sure.

J. Krickhahn: Cemetery board?

Mayor Craig: We're going to be dealing with that on a separate issue.

P. Schuman: In the past, we've given certificates of appreciation out to citizens who volunteered on Committees. Do we still have that practice?

Mayor Craig: You bet. We have quite a nice little certificate to give out. They do a nice job.

7. NEW BUSINESS

No new business.

8. ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

M. Carlson: Ellen normally hands out the certificates. The Genesee Street Reconstruction Project held its pre-construction meeting Friday morning. There were a couple of highlights that I wanted to keep you posted on. First, they've established a project office at 416 Genesee Street. It's the building

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

right next door to the Fire Department. Right next door to City Hall here. So it'll be very convenient both for us, and the City Hall, and for residents who may have questions or issues that come up during the construction project.

Mayor Craig: Do they have office hours?

M. Carlson: They have not established their office hours yet, but they will routinely be there Monday thru Friday. The best time to catch someone in the office is usually in the morning.

Mayor Craig: Do they have a phone number?

M. Carlson. I'll give you that when I'm finished with the report. The project currently is slated for a May 12th start of construction, but you may have seen some activity already. The Delafield Public Works Department has been doing some work ahead of the contractor to accelerate the timetable for getting the project completed. So, we've been working very closely with the WE Energies folks who are relocating some of the utility poles and doing the electric line burial to get that work jump-started. But the main contractor work should start on the 12th of May.

E. Sadowski: Excuse me. When is this going to end again?

M. Carlson: Liquidated damages kicks in for the contractor on August 29th. It's a penalty of \$995 per day for every day that they miss beyond that schedule, unless the schedule is extended through mutual agreement.

Mayor Craig: Even though we're getting a later start date, the ending date remains the same.

E. Sadowski: And school starts at Cushing right around then.

Mayor Craig: After Labor Day. It's a state law that requires schools open, but teachers most likely will report before that. The 26th is the starting date for the Hartland District.

M. Carlson: I do not have the telephone number for the contractor office. But you can contact us at the City Hall if you have questions or concerns about it.

L. Kuklinski: Would you prefer that people actually contact City Hall with questions so that if people raise a concern generally if they have a question, it might be a question in everyone's mind and that way it could be filtered through there so that you could have the answer for everyone?

M. Carlson: In some respects that is the best way to do it, but if it's specific to your own property, there are four individuals that have been targeted by the Downtown Business Association to help serve as a clearing house for questions and issues to be resolved. Paul Craig is one of those folks, Jeff Neper from Oliver's, Rick Lieblang and Jeff Forsluth from the Lake Country

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

Jewelers. So, any of those four people can serve as a primary contact source. Or you can contact us here at the City Hall. When the project office is up and running in full, they'll be over there and they'll be doing work and the contractor will be in and out of the office. E-mail is also another way to get a hold of us. My e-mail address is listed on our website: www.cityofdelafield.com. We'll get answers and issues resolved in a very prompt fashion. This is a project that is really going to move along and it's going to require us to make some field decisions immediately. Because it's a state contract, the state will make most of those major decisions as the project unfolds. They'll consult with us, but the major decisions relating to the project will come from the state.

Mayor Craig: It should also be noted that they are going to start in the Northeast side of Genesee.

M. Carlson: They're going to start on the east lane. Apparently they worked out a deal with St. John's Military Academy to dispose of some fill materials and that would get them started on the north end. I know that they were also working on an agreement with the property owners south of 94 to dispose of some of the fill materials that they're going to take out while they excavate the road. Apparently, they've not been successful at working out that deal. But if they do, then they would flip it to the south end. I think they're still negotiating that point. The last item in regard to the Genesee Street Project is that the contractor will be marking property lines and will be installing slope stakes along Genesee Street and Main Street. Those are pretty sensitive markings. We ask any residents or business owners along the route not to disturb those. Our intent is stay within the construction limits and it's important that we don't spend extra money or time in re-establishing those stake lines. So we ask you please to leave those where they are. That's the report on Genesee Street, unless there are any other questions.

L. Kuklinski: We have a plan for the Genesee Street Reconstruction and then we have subsequent plans for Milwaukee Street...is that correct?

M. Carlson: Main Street. The first block of Main Street east of Genesee Street is part of this construction project and will be conducted at the same time on the same time lines.

Mayor Craig: By the same firm.

L. Kuklinski: My question is, does the delay of the library project affect any of that change? Is that something we should be addressing as a body?

M. Carlson: Well, we're moving forward with the design of Main Street west of Genesee Street and we're also moving forward with Wells Street. We can't construct Wells Street west of Genesee and Main Street west of Genesee at the same time. Given the fact that you may want to consider pushing off that Main Street construction west of Genesee, you may want to have that discussion at the Council level. Our intention was to build it this year, but if you would like to reconsider that decision, then let me know.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

Mayor Craig: There are more factors than the library that go in so there's a stormwater issue also.

L. Kuklinski: Is it too late to put it on the PWC agenda?

M. Carlson: It is for this week, but it's not too late to put it on the June agenda.

P. Schuman: What are you thinking, Linda, you want to delay that?

L. Kuklinski: I don't know.

M. Roberts: What's the big rush of getting that done?

L. Kuklinski: There were issues as part of the construction that related to sidewalks and things like that.

M. Carlson: Stormwater management is the big issue.

L. Kuklinski: Do we go through the assumption that eventually there will be a library and then we're talking about incurring costs? There is no guarantee. I mean, I don't mean to be the pessimist here, but I'm the realist.

M. Carlson: I don't think that the Main Street Reconstruction Project west of Genesee is just tied to the library project. I'm more concerned about the timing of construction in downtown Delafield. I don't think anybody wants to see this become a three-year process. By the same token, we cannot have both of those streets (Wells Street and Main Street) closed at the same time. So to give me a comfort level, my feelings wouldn't be hurt at all if you said hey, push off Main Street a year, focus on Wells Street, get Genesee Street done, do the Wells Street work, come back next year and do the Main Street work.

M. Roberts: That would make more sense, because Wells Street is terrible.

Mayor Craig: We've got major stormwater issues on that roadway.

L. Kuklinski: With Wells Street, will we run into any problems with the Del-Hart expansion going on there and them having construction traffic going in and out?

M. Carlson: Their heavy traffic is supposed to use Cushing Park Road. They're supposed to use the back entrance to Del-Hart. Are they still going to receive some deliveries? Yes, I'm sure that they will.

L. Kuklinski: Again, I don't want to cause any more bottlenecks than we have to.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

M. Carlson: Their construction is 2-1/2 years. So if you're going to link the construction to the Del-Hart project, then your question isn't pushing it off one year, it's pushing it off 2-1/2 years.

L. Kuklinski: No, we don't want to do that. I don't know how you guys feel, if you think that's something we should ask PWC or if we should just recommend it?

Mayor Craig: We could get a timeline for when these three projects are to be done on paper if anything else, so we can see it and clearly analyze it.

P. Schuman: I think it needs to be coordinated like you said. There's a couple of different projects going on. Depending on who you talk to about the library, it will be built very soon, other people, maybe not. But that's the nature of the democracy.

M. Roberts: What happens if you hold off on Main Street and Wells Street until next year?

L. Kuklinski: Then you have both of them under construction at the same time.

M. Roberts: Yeah, I know, but how much construction can downtown handle at one time?

M. Carlson: That was one of the issues that the downtown merchants struggled with. They did not want a long duration of the construction activity. They wanted it all done as quickly as we could get it done.

M. Roberts: Main Street to the west and Wells Street to the west is not going to affect downtown businesses.

Mayor Craig: It will access in that people coming from west to the downtown area.

L. Kuklinski: Think detours.

E. Sadowski: I have a question. Now that the library is not going to be built, or at least the referendum didn't pass right now, does that revert to the soccer fields and does the park use that land again or how did we zone that. What's happening with the land?

Mayor Craig: The zoning remains public use.

E. Sadowski: So the soccer fields and stuff like that are being used?

Mayor Craig: They are going to remain there until somebody starts breaking ground.

E. Sadowski: So they're in use for the season.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

M. Carlson: There are kids practicing out there.

Mayor Craig: It's not a game field in the aspect of size - it's too small.

E. Sadowski: It's just practice - they're still in use. But they're not part of the parks any longer, are they?

P. Shuman: Yeah, it's P1. It's conservancy. It wasn't zoned appropriately for soccer fields - now it is. Public use.

M. Carlson: Let's clarify. The City Council and the Library Board held a joint meeting. The result of the joint meeting was that the City Council made a site available to the Library Board for a library site. The Library Board accepted the offer. Title has not transferred to the Library Board. The City of Delafield still owns the Title. But there is an action that's been taken to provide the site to the Library Board. Does that mean that it can't be used for soccer facilities in the meantime? I don't see why it couldn't.

E. Sadowski: So it is being used.

Mayor Craig: I saw kids on it the other day.

M. Carlson: Just a couple of other points that I want to bring up. We did launch our classic compensation study. We followed up the presentation from the Council meeting presentation. I attended a meeting with the Carriage Hills group and some residents from the 6th District. It was a very well attended meeting. I met with various Council members on various topics. I met with our attorneys in what seemed to be a never ending meeting regarding Village Square. That's the extent of my report.

9. CLERK'S REPORT

No report.

M. Roberts: Can we back up a minute? Did we decide what we're going to do with Main Street and Wells Street to the west? Are we going to put it on the DPW to review it?

Mayor Craig: We can get a time line.

M. Carlson: I can tell you what the time line is. The time line was to design the Main Street and the Wells Street and to bid those out so we could still get the construction done this year. Both of them.

M. Gardner: The business owners preferred to get them done at the same time?

M. Carlson: The preference of the business group was to get the construction done and over with.

M. Gardner: I'd say we need to listen to them.

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

M. Roberts: Does that still fall underneath the money that is allowed from the state and everything else?

Mayor Craig: That's ours, too.

M. Carlson: Main Street west? That is Delafield money. We identified the funding source for each of these projects. It should be listed in that budget book.

Mayor Craig: An issue that has been touched on just a couple of times is the stormwater. If you're going to collect it on Genesee you gotta send it someplace. I think when we develop the Main Street west and Wells Street west that we're going to be able to do that at that time.

L. Kuklinski: I want to see the stormwater, I don't want to create a sidewalk to nowhere.

Mayor Craig: On the same hand, there's a sidewalk to the baseball field that will remain there regardless if the library goes or not.

M. Roberts: How many people use that sidewalk, do you think to walk to the baseball field?

L. Kuklinski: Can you just get us more information for the next meeting?

M. Carlson: What information would you like me to get?

L. Kuklinski: Can you confirm again for us the funding source? I thought another item of discussion that we had at one point, they were talking about sidewalks on both sides and I remember raising the question, but I don't remember where we went with it. If we are going to do something down into that area, maybe that's what we look at. I don't know that we need a sidewalk on both sides. Especially since you only go so far. So, can you just get an update and refresh, please?

M. Carlson: We'll get that for you for the next meeting and then you can decide whether you want to send it to the Public Works Committee or not.

L. Kuklinski: We'd have to have it on the agenda anyway. Thank you.

Mayor Craig: Any other questions?

10. FINANCIAL REPORT

a. Approval of vouchers

L. KUKLINSKI MOTIONED TO APPROVE. E. SADOWSKI SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

11. CORRESPONDENCE

CITY OF DELAFIELD COMMON COUNCIL MINUTES

- a. Letter dated April 25, 2003 from citizen, Judith Hansen, regarding approval of Village Square Development.

12. ADJOURNMENT

P. SCHUMAN MOTIONED TO ADJOURN. E. SADOWSKI SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 8:47 P.M.

Respectfully submitted:

Minutes Prepared By:

Marilyn Czubkowski, CMC
City Clerk/Treasurer

Accurate Business Communications LLC