

CITY OF DELAFIELD BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

H. Bills called the meeting to order at 7:44 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Present

Absent

Henry Bills
Gerry Maier
Keith Strege
Gerald MacDougall

Thomas Hoffmann
Al Johnson

3. APPROVE MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 23RD, 2006 MEETING.

G. MAIER MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM THE FEBRUARY 23, 2006 MEETING AS PRESENTED. K. STREGE SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

4. NEW BUSINESS

CASE 726 – APPEAL OF MARK JESKE (OWNER) FOR A PROPOSED UTILITY SHED AT 1015 SUNSET DR. (798.912)

It was noted that the fee was paid, the proper neighbors were notified, and the notice was properly posted in the paper. A letter from the building inspector dated November 15, 2005 was read which denied the building permit for the utility shed. The minimum interior side yard required is 16 feet and the proposed shed is only 8.2 feet from the east side lot line.

A letter from Mark Jeske dated March 19, 2006 was read. He stated that he wanted the shed to be symmetrical with the rest of the buildings on the property, the northeast corner of the lot is not feasible for the building due to flooding, and he did not want to block the view of the backyard. With the present ordinances, the shed would be in the middle of the backyard. A photo of the shed was provided along with a layout of the area where the shed would be placed was included in the Commissioner’s packets. There were no other letters in the file.

H. Bills stated that the existing garage is only 5.3 feet from the lot line and the shed is in further than the existing garage. The garage is nonconforming. M. Jeske stated that he obtained a variance for the garage when they built it. G. Maier stated that the proposed shed was in a logical place. There is less of a

CITY OF DELAFIELD BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES

variance for the shed than for the garage. It was clarified that it was not practical to rotate the shed 90 degrees.

Mark Jeske was present at the meeting.

G. MAIER MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE VARIANCE AS REQUESTED. IT IS A LOGICAL PLACE TO PLACE THE SHED, IS PARTIALLY CONCEALED, AND IT WOULD NOT INCREASE THE NONCONFORMITY. K. STREGE SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

5. CORRESPONDENCE

None.

6. ADJOURN

K. STREGE MOTIONED TO ADJOURN FROM THE MEETING. G. MC DOUGALL SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED. THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 7:50 P.M.

Minutes Prepared By:

Accurate Business Communications LLC