

CITY OF DELAFIELD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

A PUBLIC HEARING WILL BE HELD WEDNESDAY JULY 23, 2008, 7:00 PM AT CUSHING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN THE GYM TO CONSIDER PROPOSED ROAD LOCATIONS IN THE UNDEVELOPED AREA BOUNDED ON THE WEST BY GENESEE STREET, ON THE NORTH BY MAIN STREET, ON THE EAST BY NORTH LAPHAM PEAK ROAD, AND ON THE SOUTH BY WEST DEVONSHIRE ROAD AND POPLAR PATH. THE DESIGNATED ROAD PATTERN WILL BE ADOPTED AS A REVISION TO THE EXISTING MASTER LAND USE PLAN AND OFFICIAL MAP. DOCUMENTS ARE AVAILABLE AT THE CITY HALL FOR REVIEW.

Mayor McAleer declared the public hearing open at 7:03 p.m.

He stated that this study was city-driven rather than developer-driven. This would map where the roads would go. No tax dollars would be spent on the improvements but would be paid for by the developers when the development was built.

G. Gresch read the Notice for the Public Hearing.

R. Dupler stated that it was the intent to define an end to the moratorium that was enacted 2.5 months ago. He commended Mayor McAleer in his foresight regarding changes coming in the City of Delafield and to take the time to come up with an ideal land plan on the above noted parcels. By enacting the moratorium, it allows evaluation of the properties and not to allow the decisions to be driven by direct revisions by a private petitioner. It is important to note that all of the roads in this moratorium solution would be funded/built by the potential developers, sub-dividers, builders of the areas to be developed. There would be no economic burden placed on any of the existing property owners unless they were the ones to do the subdividing or to split their property.

A presentation outlining the Downtown Development Road Planning Analysis showing the various proposed road locations was made by R. Dupler. The I-94 Community Boundary was taken into consideration when planning the road locations so as to not encumber or increase traffic within the existing residential areas. The grid, loop cul-de-sac, and dendretic systems were discussed for possible road configurations. The location of the Delafield City Hall was taken into consideration for various road layouts and reviewed with the public. Collector routes and uses were also reviewed.

Robert Hoffmann, 1724 Milwaukee Street – He is a member of the American Legion, a community based operation, and could not picture the road running up against the American Legion Post. He felt that if this road was built in this location it would eliminate the hard ball leagues. They have been there for decades, and he did not feel it made sense. He did not want the road on the American Legion property.

Gary Schroeder, 352 Woodberry Dr. – Lives in Delafield Commons – Felt that the road between Woodberry and Stocks would increase traffic in this area. A petition of 26 owners was presented to Clerk G. Gresch against the proposal.

CITY OF DELAFIELD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

Dorothy Peterson, W237 Oakwood Drive – She assumed that the property purchased was in the City Plan. Asked if more roads were needed. If there were more roads, there would be more maintenance, pollution, traffic, etc. She did not feel that more people were needed in Delafield. In addition, she did not think City government would benefit from more streets and more people. She expressed concern about the businesses and quality of life. Asked that the City keeps plans as they now stand.

Kelly Bukowsky, 2330 N. Genesee – She is the business manager of the Delafield Legion Post and is an Auxiliary Member. She reviewed the history of the Legion and the activities that it takes part of with the City. She was disappointed with the proposal to construct a road through the Legion. Improvements that the Legion has recently undertaken were discussed. Families with little children use their park and she was concerned about the present traffic; this road plan would increase the traffic in the Legion Park area should the proposal be accepted. The park would not be feasible with a road running right through it. Many events, from sports to weddings, take place in this park. Asked to please take the plan and remove it from all thought.

Mayor McAleer requested that no applause take place during the public hearing.

Arlene Baumann, 362 Woodberry – She was opposed to a road between Woodberry and Stocks. They do not need more traffic in Delafield Commons. She reviewed the age population of the area; there are many young children present, they do not have sidewalks. More traffic would make the area dangerous.

Marcia Stocks, 226 Genesee Street – Thanked R. Dupler for the time he spent explaining this to her this afternoon. She expressed concern about another intersection on Genesee Street. There is a lot of traffic on Genesee Street now, much which does not adhere to the speed limit. There is a hill that makes it unsafe for an intersection. She stated that the Loop Concept (south access) would be feasible. The connection at Woodberry may only need to be an emergency access. The proposed plan may create traffic issues for families with small children.

Jim Behrand, 757 Garrison Court – Thought that the planning was pretty logical. Drawings were distributed which will be included as part of the record. He owns the house across from Jensen and discussed the area. He was not a fan of the route south of the Legion and felt it would be expensive to build. This would not be the best place to access the Legion. As long as he had access from the west he would be happy. He commented regarding Oneida going through the apartments – In 1983 the elderly housing was the most appropriate use and felt that this would be extended in 2013 by the Federal Government. If enough value was created in this area, maybe the use would go away. By drawing Oneida through, a lot of value would be created in this corner. In addition, he discussed vacating a small portion of land, the extension of Division Street, and restricting the access to Genesee Street and Oneida. Suggested configurations were pointed out on the map. He suggested extending Wells without extending Bleeker by using the first 100' of the WEPCO right of way.

Linda Kuklinski, Jefferson, Wisconsin – She is a former a 22 year resident of Delafield and a member of the American Legion. She asked to consider whose interest it is

CITY OF DELAFIELD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

important to protect, current residents or potential developers? The Master Plan is intended to be a long term strategic plan and is intended not to be changed. The American Legion has supported many community programs. It serves the community and is a donator of funds to the American Service and Delafield Police & Fire Departments. The American Legion park has a 20 year lease with the City to increase the park space within the community. She suggested containing road systems within the development and cul de sacing the roadways until the Legion and Wysslings were ready. The least amount of disruption should be the top consideration.

Gary Nuernberger, 705 Genesee Street (BP Station) – Had an article from Lake Country Report dated October 2, 2002 regarding City of Delafield regarding engineering reports and stop signs. Discussed a petition from 16 businesses thanking him for the temporary stop signs and asking that they be permanent. If another outlet came out to Genesee Street, there would be another stop sign. One main complaint about traveling through Delafield is the number of stop signs. This creates traffic congestion. If the City feels it is necessary to develop this plan, any access to the land should not involve direct routes to Genesee Street.

Audrey Kellner, 1116 W. Devonshire Rd. – She lives on the corner of Lapham Peak and West Devonshire. The history of Devonshire was discussed. When they demapped the original road that was going to go through the Legion, they opened up Devonshire to go through the Commons. She felt that this created a short cut to I94. Another access is not necessary by Lapham Peak – there are many small children in the area that use the American Legion. She did not know of anywhere else where a whole family can watch a ballgame and have their children play in a safe environment – if a road was put in this area, it would destroy it. There wouldn't be a family-oriented park. She wanted to preserve the park and did not see why the extension and accesses couldn't go to Wells out to Bleeker, Oneida to Main Street instead of feeding more into Genesee. Downtown has become a stop sign disaster. This is why people take short cuts – to avoid downtown – they also avoid the businesses downtown. She would like a viable, active, business community. Rethinking of the development of this land needs to take place. It should be developed without having additional impact on things that add to the quality of life in the City of Delafield.

Craig Hoaglund, 452 N. Lapham Peak Rd. – He is a resident across the street from the Legion and didn't believe that this would not cost the City anything. Asked if the Plan Commission had considered taking empty lots and turning them into green space.

Tony Gomes, 223 Woodberry Drive (Delafield Commons) – He moved into area two years ago because of the quaintness and natural feel of the area. He has three small children. He is very concerned about the traffic in Delafield Commons, particularly Devonshire and Woodberry. There are many children in this area and no sidewalks – walking needs to take place along side of the road. If more traffic is in this area it will become very dangerous. Asked that reconsideration take place on these plans.

Mark Jeske, 1015 Sunset Drive – It was his opinion that no more subdivisions were necessary, but a park would be nice. Stormsewers were discussed; he has a swale by

CITY OF DELAFIELD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

his home and he reviewed the flow of water there. This is an ongoing situation. This last rain produced 4' of water in his backyard. The plan should include stormsewers.

Jennifer Dunham, 847 West Devonshire – Has lived on Devonshire since it was a dead-end road and has seen the Delafield Commons area develop. Traffic takes the short cut because there are no stop signs. Felt that the proposed road layouts did not make sense and that people would use the Commons as a short cut. She felt that the reality is not what is projected as to how people will travel.

Ron Narayanappa, 800 Poplar Path – He stated that traffic zooms by in the area. There are single family homes in the area with families, kids, and pets. More traffic will not help them. Both proposals would bring more traffic in this area. He asked if the R6 property could be converted to single family. Other possible options were reviewed.

Gary Preston, 307 N. Laphan Road (directly south of the Legion) – Expressed concern about the safety of the children in the area. His two acres of land are shown divided into three lots already. R. Dupler stated that this was done to depict what could happen. G. Preston stated that he was not going to subdivide. He was concerned about the kids.

Kristy Tlachac, 203 Woodberry Dr. – She lives on the end of Devonshire where it connects with Woodberry Dr. Traffic during rush hour is unbelievable and the children can't be near road during that time. The northern part of circle is only place for kids. She was opposed to anything connecting to Woodbury Dr. Many people tailgate her and go around when she stops at stop signs. She reported that mail boxes have been hit, and a neighbor's tree was knocked down. People use this from the lake to I94 as a connector.

George Finch, 23 N. Lapham Peak Road – Has lived in the City for two years. The traffic on Leak Peak Road has increased significantly since he has lived here. One of his neighbors has a stop sign near his house where no one stops. This area is used as a connector to I94. The Legion property has a beautiful park. Having kids in this area with the traffic getting worse is not good. The road should not be built and traffic should be limited through the subdivision. This should be studied so traffic doesn't go through subdivision.

Jason Matchie, 355 Stocks Dr. – (President of the Homeowners Association of Delafield Commons). He reported that there are many people in this area with children and many people walk in this area. Opening up the road would mean a huge decrease in their standard of living. It would be a big disturbance in the area. He asked that they be allowed to preserve some of the beautifulness of the area. His parents moved away from this area due to the traffic volume. Extra roads would be devastating to the people who live in these areas.

LuAnn Ladwig, Swan Road, Pewaukee – Had lived in Delafield for ten plus years at 1003 W. Devonshire and built on 1009-11 W. Devonshire. She comes into Delafield on W. Devonshire Rd. Concern was expressed about the extension through the

CITY OF DELAFIELD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

Commons. She felt this would be short cut and would be very dangerous for the children in the area especially on West Devonshire. She is concerned with the way it is set up now and especially with these proposals. Thought something should be done regarding the present traffic flow to I94. Concern was expressed that the traffic on Devonshire feeding through Commons over to I94 is great. When she built 1009-11 W. Devonshire, there was a supposable navigable water area; this needs to be looked at with these plans in addition to the area to the north.

Salvatore Ferrara, 1005 Sunset Drive – He did not feel that the American Legion area is a good spot for connector road. Stormwater in the area of Sunset to Wilderness and W. Devonshire is a concern including the area to the South and Southeast. One of the areas that should be looked at is an area like Central Park in New York City. Land should be reserved for the City to expand the Legion Park. This could be done with tax incentives and minimizing the impact to Delafield citizens through the use of grants, etc. Suggested a compromise with the developers to preserve park land in this central area. This would take pedestrian traffic off of the main streets. A central park in Delafield should be considered.

Nancy Nelson, 292 Woodberry Drive – There are many children in this area and traffic is already a problem in this area. Cars that come down the road don't use their brakes and go fast. It is not a safe area. Many people from different areas come to this area to walk and ride their bikes. She was concerned about the traffic and felt that it would not be a safe area. She invited a police officer to her home to watch the number of people who do not stop at the stop sign. The school bus stop location was moved because the cars were not stopping or slowing down when the children were standing on the corner. Traffic needs to be looked at in this area.

Paul Berg, 831 Division Street – Concerned that this was showing roadways through Bleeker Street extension. To his knowledge, this was only going to be 30' right of way. If traffic was directed through to Wells Street, the first 100' of the WEPCO right of way should be utilized. He was also concerned about the water flows from the R3 properties that are now vacant as they have created a large river flowing down Bleeker Street through the property that eventually comes out on Lake Street. He felt that the Public Works was aware of the erosion on Lake Street. If more impervious surfaces were introduced, it would not be good.

Chris Knapp, Present Commander of Sons of Legion – He chose not to buy his home in Delafield. Felt that the quality of life in Delafield was decreasing due to the traffic. He joined the Delafield Legion because it was the only place in Delafield you could go to and know 90% of the people. Asked what happened to the quiet, nice little town. The Sons of the American Legion was started with 10 members seven years ago and now has 58 members. The grounds have been improved and it is a place that everyone can come to. He did not want to see this change and would like to see it stay as it is to keep the quality of life.

Marcia Stocks, 226 Genesee Street – Delafield Commons had their development plan reviewed by the Plan Commission, but M. Stocks was not sure if any of the current Plan Commissioners were on the committee at the time. When the development

CITY OF DELAFIELD PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

roadways were discussed one possibility came up - she suggested that the short area between what is now called Poplar Path to Highway C to Oneida Street (200') could be made one way only headed east. This would avoid traffic coming through the neighborhood to I94; this would cut down on the traffic. This could add to the security of the neighborhood.

Mark Sommerfeldt, 226 Oneida – He was concerned about why they are looking at bringing Oneida through. Oneida is presenting a dead-end street and they get a lot of traffic now because there is a lack of a dead-end sign on the street. If this street went through, he felt it would be terrible and the intersection to the north of the bank would be terrible. He was concerned as a parent.

Mayor McAleer asked if there were any others who wished to speak. There were none.

This item is scheduled to be discussed at the August 27th Plan Commission meeting. Mayor McAleer thanked everyone for coming and making comments.

D. CURTIS-COSTA MOTIONED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. B. LEONARD SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED. THE PUBLIC HEARING WAS CLOSED AT 8:42 P.M.

Minutes prepared by:

Accurate Business Communications, Inc.

CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN COMMISSION MINUTES

CALL MEETING TO ORDER

Mayor McAleer called the meeting to order at 8:55 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

ROLL CALL

Present

Mayor Ed McAleer
Kent Attwell
Dirilee Curtis-Costa
Ald. Beth Leonard
Roger Dupler, Planner
Tim Schuenke, Administrator

Absent

Larry Chapman
Mike Frede
Kevin Fitzgerald
Tom Maney, Inspector

1. DELAFIELD CITIZEN'S COMMENTS PERTAINING TO SUBJECTS ON THIS AGENDA.

Matthew Willert, 328 Lawn Street, Hartland – He is considering opening a business and was concerned about signage use. He has met and discussed the signage issues with business owners in the area; many are concerned regarding the reduction of traffic into their businesses. This is influencing his decision to open business in Delafield.

Bonnie Dixon, President of the Delafield Area Chamber, Owner of The UPS Store – She urged the Plan Commission to take timely action on the Sign Committee's recommendations and encouraged the relaxation of enforcement of the present sign ordinance on businesses. She understood that the City had initiated a developmental survey to property owners. She felt that lost business revenues would affect property values and the current tax base to the City. Inspection letters were mailed to many businesses during the third week of June. Many business owners have signed a petition that will be presented to the City this evening. City enforcement involves all city sectors. She addressed lost business as a result of the enforcement. Asked if it would make sense to allow the signage under the new recommendations that control the size, location, distance from each other, and fees charged versus direct civil disobedience. She hoped that the Plan Commission would act quickly.

Mayor McAleer stated that members on this Plan Commission were not serving when the remainder of the sign ordinance was brought forward by the Sign Committee. He felt that sandwich boards are important and that the ordinance is a good ordinance. He would ask that the Plan Commission to do everything they can to expedite this enforced and passed so that the businesses enjoy some advertising in fall.

CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN COMMISSION MINUTES

Paula Hornbeck, Eye Candy Eyewear, 524 Milwaukee, Street – She is a new business owner and did not appreciate the letter that came to her. If there were issues with her signage she would have liked to have known. The letter was angry in feeling and was threatening. The expense of taking a sign down and having a business with no signage would greatly harm her business. The letter was unrealistic. Many signs in the city were not unattractive. This should have been dealt with in a friendlier way in order to keep businesses going in Delafield.

Thomas Halverson, Bennollis Hand-Made Pizza, 615 Main Street – He expressed disappointment in the way the sign issue was handled. Because he was aware of what the Sign Committee was working on, he waited on a sidewalk sign and has been waiting for quite some time. His business has dropped 50% since his sign has been down. He understands the need for restrictions, but found that it is hard to successfully run a small business without the signage. For businesses that want to have a special everyday, signage is the most cost effective method. Many businesses make all of their profit in the summer months and he felt that it was not a good idea to take the signs down during the summer months.

Rick Lieblang, 607 Main Street – Presented a petition with 80 signatures to G. Gresch from the Chamber of Commerce. He was a participant on the Sign Committee and was surprised that the letter came out the way it did.

Dennis Bork, Aniquity Design, 719 Genesee Street – Has been in located downtown for 15 years. For each of these 15 years they have applied for a national award and during these years he was a jury judge and was in the top 200 in America. They had a banner made to celebrate his 15 years – his customers loved it. During the past two years he has received four offers to move his store to other cities. He does not need Delafield any more to sell his furniture. The Common Council doesn't do anything to support businesses in the downtown area. He recalled the businesses that went out of business approximately two years ago during the reconstruction and was disappointed to receive a letter from the City regarding removing their banner. Tax funds were used to work against small businesses. Since September 2000, business traffic has been declining. He asked if the Plan Commission wanted the downtown to be void of any life or spirit. This is a difficulty economy and the City should be helping. Other communities would love to have the businesses that Delafield has. Signs are informative and add interest. Delafield businesses do not need another expense to put up a sign. What is next? He found it insulting to get the letter from the City.

Jamie Hardt, Fitness Director, Anytime Fitness – Delafield, 3130 Village Square – Her store is one of the only five stores open in Village Square. They do approximately \$12,000 - \$15,000 in marketing which includes banner marketing. Their most profitable time is January – February. They need signage for summer months in order to survive. Taking away the opportunity to market via signage is devastating to her during summer months. She received

CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN COMMISSION MINUTES

the letter but did not expect to hear about an \$800 permit. Felt that \$400 per year was unrealistic and would drastically affect her budget. If signage is taken away, in addition to banners, businesses are hurt. Suggested a yearly fee or some type of size ordinance, but taking it away all together is not an option.

Steven P. Kistner, Steven Paul Designs, 700a Genesee Street – Urged the Plan Commission to review the sign proposal that was in effect. He bought signage which paid phenomenally and put them on the map. However, he has seen a drastic drop in business since his sign has been down. The sign is crucial. He hoped that appropriate fast action would be taken to bring it back.

Jason R. Heckendorf, Steven Paul Designs, 700a Genesee Street – He addressed how the letter was worded; it was not received well. Strongly urged that the Sign Committee's proposal should be addressed. They were here as a structure and base for Delafield and asked why Delafield wanted to hurt them. Requested that the Plan Commission get the issue resolved.

Dawn Pennala, Salon Cover – New business owner. Her signs were taken down and it has hurt her business. She is on 83 and 94 attached to the Delafield Animal Hospital. Her clientele has no clue where she is. She was extremely disappointed in the Plan Commission and stated that this must be handled better.

Susan Kinds, Delafield Hotel and Andrews - Delafield Hotel has a beautiful sign, but Andrews, to many individuals, is not known. Many calls are received asking how to get there. One small sign has cost them \$7,000. Quick action needs to be taken.

Mike, House of Prime Meats – He is a new business owner and received the letter regarding sign removal. He did not know why it was illegal. It is his only form of advertising. No one knows he is there and he has lost business and money because of it. This needs to be addressed fast. Asked why the City could put a sign out regarding July 4 events and he can't. This does not make sense. He hoped that the Plan Commission would take serious action.

Mr. Sloan, Loaf n Jug Restaurant – Asked if there was a way to address this instead of putting a fee on the sign every 90 days. Is there a way that the Plan Commission could propose a sign, design, font, placement, etc. with no charge? If there is a violation, a letter could be written notifying the business and a fine could be imposed. This is penalizing everyone. His business has suffered from this. This is the high season for businesses in Delafield.

Debra Smith, Executive Director, Delafield Chamber of Commerce – She thanked the Plan Commission for allowing them to come tonight. She has spent 17-20 hours answering phone calls from businesses in Delafield who have received the letter. The businesses are passionate about Delafield and about staying here. She is passionate about continuing to work to support the businesses so that they thrive and are successful. The businesses are

CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN COMMISSION MINUTES

struggling with the economy. The businesses were thanked for speaking their thoughts. She hoped that at least sandwich board signs could be allowed for the rest of the summer with restrictions on placement and that they be taken in at night. This would help advertise the businesses throughout summer while there is tourism.

Mayor McAleer asked if there were any further comments. There were none.

D. CURTIS-COSTA MOTIONED TO CLOSE CITIZENS COMMENTS. B. LEONARD SECONDED THE MOTION. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

2. ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT.

a. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION OF CITY SIGNAGE AS RECOMMENDED BY THE SIGN COMMITTEE.

Mayor McAleer asked T. Schuenke, City Administrator, and R. Dupler if they could institute a 90 day trial for the ordinance received by the Sign Committee specifically for sandwich board signs and allow businesses to do that, understanding that the ordinance change process needed to go through and at end of 90 days it may be possible that the Common Council may not wish to make that approval. The City Attorney would need to be consulted. R. Dupler commented that sandwich board signs have never been complained about. Believed that there was the power to suspend and implement the sandwich board signs on a trial basis. Mayor McAleer stated that if this was done, it was temporary and could not be considered permanent. He stressed that there was a risk to the business owner.

B. Leonard asked if these sandwich board signs were already purchased. If there was a moratorium on sandwich board signs and no new signs needed to be purchased, and the Common Council did not adopt the change, there would not be as much of a risk to the business. Mayor McAleer did not know what the application process would be, but for 90 days, he would like to waive the fee. R. Dupler stated presently there were 10-12 petitions for sandwich board signs. He suggested this would be a way for tracking the signage. Those businesses that already submitted the application and paid a fee should be refunded. The proposed ordinance stated that every business is afforded a sandwich board sign. Mayor McAleer suggested a 90 day trial period. T. Schuenke believed if it was possible to have a moratorium or to somehow put the new ordinance into effect on an emergency basis with confirmation from the City Attorney, that at the staff level this could be expedited within one week or less. He needed to check the City ordinances would allow the City to temporarily on an emergency basis place this ordinance into effect. B. Leonard would be more comfortable not referring to the ordinance at all and just did a 90 day moratorium on sandwich board signs. B. Leonard stated that she has not seen the Sign Committee's language at this point in time. However, it was

CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN COMMISSION MINUTES

noted that the materials had been emailed to the commissioners. Mayor McAleer did not have an objection with an emergency accommodation for 90 days allowing this with the City Attorney's approval.

R. Dupler noted that there was only one sandwich board sign that drastically exceeded the size. Sarah Binkowski sat in during the Sign Committee process. When the final recommendations were made, the Plan Commission chose to leave the aesthetic recommendations because they seemed to be inconsistent with things in the downtown plan. After the downtown plan is updated, the aesthetics would be addressed. The Plan Commission did chose to introduce the purpose and intent section, the enforcement section was added, and updated several definitions. The permit processing has helped some businesses. She reviewed suggestions that were made to change things for the downtown area.

MAYOR MC ALEER MOTIONED TO APPROVE A 90 DAY TEMPORARY ALLOWANCE USING THE LANGUAGE IN THE PROPOSED SIGN ORDINANCE THAT DEALS WITH SANDWICH BOARD SIGNS AS A TEMPLATE FOR BUSINESSES TO USE THEIR SANDWICH BOARD SIGNS CONTINGENT UPON CITY ATTORNEY REVIEW AND APPROVAL. S. BINKOWSKI RECOMMENDED THAT THE PAPERWORK BE ACQUIRED DURING THE 90 DAYS. E. MC ALEER ADDED TO THE MOTION THAT IF THERE WERE ANY OTHER CAVEATS THAT THE CITY ATTORNEY WANTED TO ADD IN THAT THEY WOULD. R. DUPLER STATED THAT THE SANDWICH BOARDS WERE NOT APPROVED AS REMOTE BILLBOARDS. HE SUGGESTED THAT THIS NOT BE INCLUDED. E. MC ALEER SUGGESTED THAT THE SANDWICH BOARDS FOLLOW THE PROPOSED LANGUAGE OF THE ORDINANCE. K. ATTWELL ADDED THAT THE BUSINESSES BE REQUIRED TO FILL OUT FORM AND TURN IT INTO THE CITY. E. MC ALEER ACCEPTED THE ADDITION. B. LEONARD ADDED THAT THE APPROPRIATE INSURANCE LIABILITY WOULD BE REQUIRED (PAGE 2 OF PROPOSAL). E. MC ALEER AGREED TO THE ADDITION. R. DUPLER STATED THAT THE APPLICATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED NOW HAVE PROVIDED INSURANCE. E. MC ALEER STATED THAT THERE IS NO GUARANTEE THAT THE ORDINANCE WOULD PASS AND THAT THERE WAS A RISK ASSOCIATED WITH PURCHASING A SIGN DURING THIS 90 DAY PERIOD. D. CURTIS-COSTA SECONDED THE MOTION. E. MC ALEER CLARIFIED THAT THIS MOTION WAS ONLY FOR THE SANDWICH BOARD SIGNS. THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

R. Lieblang requested that when the City Attorney provides his comments, that G. Gresch email the Chamber. In addition, they will also be put on the website.

b. DISCUSSION OF SMART GROWTH CHAPTERS 1 THROUGH 4.

No discussion took place.

CITY OF DELAFIELD PLAN COMMISSION MINUTES

3. ADJOURNMENT.

B. LEONARD MOTIONED TO ADJOURN FROM THE MEETING. K. ATTWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED. THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 9:57 P.M.

Minutes Prepared By:

Accurate Business Communications, Inc.